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THE COURT: All right. Mr. Margulies, are you ready to proceed?

MR. MARGULIES: I am. Thank you, Your Honor. DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MARGULIES:
Q Dr. Bell, welcome back.
A Thank you.
Q Just before the break we started to talk about the issues of nanoparticles. And just so we can kind of reorient ourselves, I had asked you about what was it about nanoparticles interested you as a research field, and you said that you were familiar with the debate that there was nothing there.

So with that in mind, my follow-up question to you is: What was it about nanoparticles that you thought was relevant to the controversy about nothing being in homeopathic medicine?

A Well, first, the issue is that there were nanoparticles of source material in the original published paper. They confirmed that when they said there was silver or gold or tin or copper in a particular product from actually one of
two different homeopathic manufacturers, that they were able to identify that with their techniques.

And so it fit with some of the earlier research I was aware of that had already demonstrated optical signals, things related to light emission and reflection and absorption, somewhat of what I talked about earlier where they were able to show that compared with a control or placebo, there was a unique signal that appeared to be very similar to the signal that they obtained when they used the ordinary material.

Q You read Dr. Lee's reports in this case; right?
A Yes.
Q And you understand that he referred to those studies as violating the laws of physics?

A Yes.
Q Did you agree with that?
A No.
Q Why not?
A Because the materials were there. Unless he was accusing them of some particular methodologic problem, I accepted that they were there and they needed more further research to confirm or evaluate what was reported.

Q And what did you think -- so you see this research that shows the presence of nanoparticles, or was it gold and silver?

A There were actually six different metals, among them gold and silver.

Q And it was present at these very, very low dilutions, and I think you said you tied that in your mind to some of the other research. Did you start to form a hypothesis at that point about what the presence of nanoparticles could mean with regard to the homeopathic medication?

A Yes. Again, there was other work that had shown that there were changes in solutions of the, quote, true medicines that were prepared homeopathically experimentally where they were able to demonstrate not only the optical signals but also just straightforward electromagnetic signals and changes in other properties of the solution when they manipulated the solution. So there was work to be understood to put together with all of that.

Q Did you consider doing further research in order to try to figure out whether nanoparticles were -- actually, strike that. Let me ask you a different question.

Did you monitor or do any literature research to
look into whether there was other information about nanoparticles in homeopathically prepared materials?

A Yes, I did. I was actually at the time asked to invite various speakers by the National Center for Homeopathy to update the membership on the state of the science and research. And during that time was when I realized that the
nanoparticle paper had been published, and I was able to contact the authors in India and they gave a webinar to the larger group on the topic.

So my first contact with all of that information was to actually be able to ask some questions of the investigators, and I learned that -- one of the questions I asked was how do you make them in modern nanotechnology, because you obviously had never heard of homeopathy in this field before.

And I was told that one of the two main ways they make them was to grind them up or mill them very extensively in order to take, for example, a new drug or an old drug that was not very soluble to make it more available to the body. If you just grind it up and make it smaller, it improves its accessibility to the body.

Q I'm sorry. Were you saying that they were grinding products to make nanoparticles?

A Yes.
Q And did that have in your mind any application in the field of homeopathy?

A Well, yes. That sounded to me like a much more modern form of what had been originally discovered by the founder of homeopathy as a manufacturing method, which is called trituration. It's done often by many manufacturers in modern day by using mills that are also available for that
purpose and other forms of technology.
Q When you say mill, are you talking, like, the old wheel mill, water wheel and grinding? Is it the same principle? A Originally I believe they used, as you might have seen in old pharmacy movies or pictures, a mortar and a pencil where they were doing mechanical grinding of things. They had then moved on in various ways to have more mechanized ways of doing that particular step.

That fascinated me because of that potential link of that one piece of the manufacturing, and so $I$ then went into the nanoparticle literature in as much depth as I could searching in the available databases for research in modern nanotechnology that might provide me some clues about the nature of what was being used in homeopathic manufacturing. Q Let me ask you for a moment -- well, when you do the research in the literature, can you describe what it is you're doing. How are you reviewing the literature? What does that consist of? Are you sitting there and typing a search into Google, or are you doing something different? A I occasionally had to look words up and Google, but most of my work was on what is called PubMed.gov, and it's one of the publicly available databases for consumers as well as professionals. But it is in the National Library of Medicine, so it's a governmental function and it provides an online resource.

```
                    It's one of several or many that are available to
researchers where you can search by particular terms and
then see the article name, the authors, and the abstract.
And you can discover quite a few articles at once.
Q So what are you searching in PubMed? What's actually
in there?
A The articles are all indexed often by what date they
were published. So you might find 20 to 50 or 100 pages of
references relating to the research on a particular topic,
and on each page there might be 20 or more references.
Q And where are these articles located that you're
finding in the database?
A They're typically in various professional journals,
peer-review journals.
Q So, for example, we looked at some of the references in
the articles that you wrote out of your studies.
A Yes.
Q Would those articles then get indexed into this PubMed
so that other people who wanted to research EEG and
homeopathic medicines, they would find them?
A Yes. Ideally that should occur when research is done.
Q And is that fairly standard type of research that an
academic would do, to look to see what's been published on a
particular topic by scientists around the world?
A Oh, yes.
```

Q Okay. And you mentioned trituration and its similarity to manufacture of nanoparticles. Did you run across any literature or any other information that related to whether the succussion process would also have the potential to create nanoparticles when a homeopathic drug is made?

A Yes, I did. It was a little bit harder to search, but I was just doing -- there are different ways to do the search, so I starred with the word nanoparticles, but then I put in other things like nanoparticle and ethanol because I know ethanol was used. And I discovered that again there are a number of modern mechanical methods by which solutions are agitated, and some of those enable modern nanotechnologists to make nanoparticles.

Q I think you mentioned that you'd started -- let me ask you, because maybe you didn't. What year was it when you ran across this article about the group out of India that had done the work on the nanoparticles in the homeopathically prepared materials?

A I know that the paper was published in 2010, and I have Googled words on my computer about the topic of homeopathy, so I'm not sure but I probably read it originally in 2010. Q And has the literature on nanoparticles and homeopathy expanded since 2010? Have there been additional studies done? additional papers written?

A Yes.

Q Can you describe how that literature has grown over the last five years.

A To my knowledge there are at least ten published papers from researchers in various countries, all of whom have reported some type of nanostructure that they discovered in homeopathically prepared materials. In addition to that, based on a grant that I had received a few years ago, I've now added to that literature separately on my own. Q Great. Let's talk a little bit about what work you've done. Is this laboratory work that you've done or you've participated in?

A It's laboratory work I've collaborated on with people who are expert in nanotechnology assessment methods. Q Can you describe that work for us, please.

A I received a grant from a private research foundation that was intended to characterize the nanoparticles that were present in some of the more widely used homeopathic medicine.

My rationale for the selection was partly related to the existing modern nanotechnology literature where things like silver are made into nanoparticles, and I knew that there was a literature in homeopathy showing nanoparticles. That seemed like a logical remedy or homeopathic medicine to look at as one of the first.

And then separate from that, I was collaborating
with two other again modern nanotechnology experts in a private grant from a different foundation where we knew that in India there are many clinicians who use certain homeopathic medicines to treat people with cancer. And at that point I wanted to take a look at what was the one that had the strongest research on the biology of the medicine so that I could take a look and see if there were nanoparticles there. So I chose a remedy called Gelsemium.

Q And has the work that you've done in these collaborative efforts been published in the scientific literature?

A Yes.
Q I'm going to show you Exhibit 1033, page 23. And I've circled references 145 and 146. Do those reflect the work that you've just been describing for us?

A Yes.
Q What else have you done with regard to the issue of nanoparticles in homeopathic medication?

A Well, I've continued to be monitoring the literature in nanomedicine and nanotechnology. I periodically do searches on things that have been used historically for over 200 years in making homeopathic medicines looking for papers where there might be a parallel between homeopathic manufacturing and the modern kinds of manufacturing methods. Q Can you describe for the jury what you understand to be
the state of the art right now with regard to the presence of nanoparticles in homeopathically prepared materials with regard to the issue of a potential mechanism of action. A Well, the presence of nanoparticles answers one of those primary objections that historically has always been present with homeopathy, and that is that there's so-called nothing there; therefore, there couldn't be anything doing anything if a patient were to respond.

That answer, I believe, is partly addressed by the presence of the nanoparticles, but it provides us with an actual physical measurement that suggests a source material in some form, and this is a matter of form. It's nano-sized rather than bulk size or larger size. It is present.

And that again, the literature suggests that many nanoparticles are capable of having some very interesting properties that could overlap with some of the findings that have been reported in homeopathic literature on the electromagnetic signals and the optical signals.

Q Are you familiar with a concept called hormesis?
A Yes.
Q What's your understanding of what hormesis is?
A Hormesis has a variety of descriptions, but it is a phenomenon that was described in both pharmacology and in physiology where low doses of something produce effects in the direction opposite to the direction that higher doses of
the same substance can do. And it's been studied both in conventional drugs, in toxicology with toxic materials. It's been studied with radiation. It's been studied also just with stress.

Q And we'll hear more from Dr. Calabrese about hormesis next week, but the question $I$ have for you is: Are you aware of any relationship between hormesis and nanoparticles?

A Yes.

Q What is it you're aware of?

A There are a series of original research studies which have been summarized in several review papers on that particular topic, and it's very clear that nanoparticles in low dose are capable of causing hormetic effects. So it would be that low doses do one thing in one direction and high doses in the opposite. Q Is that consistent with your understanding of how homeopathy is intended to work?

A It is in general, yes.
Q Okay. Now, you understand that Dr. Lee thinks there's not enough nanoparticles present to make a homeopathic medication be effective; right?

A I understand that he said that, yes.
Q Do you agree with him?

A No.

Q Why not?
A Because he really didn't address the issue of hormesis, and he didn't address the very significant literature on the actual documented effects in cells and animals separate from the clinical research literature in homeopathy showing there are effects.

So if he's saying that they're not there, even genes are affected by homeopathically prepared materials, and there's an ample body of research in the nano literature suggesting that that can occur.

Q Are you aware of any studies that would allow you to determine the number of nanoparticles that might be present in a homeopathically prepared material?

A I believe there was an initial attempt in that first report from the group at the Indian Institute of Technology. I was aware at the time I did my research that there was another technique that involved even less requirement for manipulating the sample. You could literally put a drop of it essentially on the slide.

The technique is called nanoparticle tracking analysis, and it's another more light-based or optically-based laser base kind of system where you can watch the nanoparticles moving around. And their movement in a liquid is quantified, and it gives you information on concentration of nanoparticles as well as the size of those

```
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nanoparticles.
```

Q And do you have any estimate as to how many
nanoparticles might be present in typical homeopathically
prepared material?
MR. GOMEZ: Object. Foundation as to this
witness, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. MARGULIES:
Q Based on your review of the literature, Dr. Bell, do
you have an opinion as to whether the amount, the number of
nanoparticles in homeopathic material is quantifiable?
A Yes.
Q And what is that opinion?
A Well, based on my own studies that I've just recently
described as well as others, I identified in the types of
samples that we did compared with placebo that there were
approximately almost a billion nanoparticles per milliliter.
So compared with this bottle of water which is
591 milliliters, there would be presumably quite a few
nanoparticles in there.
Q 591 million?
A Could be.
Q Okay. Do you have an opinion as to how a nanoparticle
could cause the response to a homeopathic medication along
the lines that it is intended, which is the like cures like
Lisa M. Gonzalez, Official Reporter
principle?

MR. GOMEZ: Objection. Foundation.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: In homeopathy, as I mentioned, with hormesis you have the notion that a low dose will produce an effect in direction opposite from a higher dose. And since nanoparticles can cause that, you have to look at the research literature in hormesis.

When I did that, I discovered that the modern thinking about hormesis included the notion that it was causing the recipient at a low dose to do at a patient to what it anticipated might be the onslaught of something more toxic or dangerous or stressful for it in the future. Q Can you explain what you meant by that. How is the organism adapting to something?

A The mechanisms are something that I'm sure Dr. Calabrese can speak to because he's done more work in the literature, specifically on the biology of hormesis. But it shows what is referred to in a general sense as biological plasticity, which means you can shape the response of the organism by changes in the biology when the organism receives a signal, if you will, that is salient or relevant to that organism.

Q Is a vaccine like a flu shot a similar principle?
MR. GOMEZ: Objection. Foundation.

THE COURT: Overruled.
MR. GOMEZ: It's also vague.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: It is somewhat similar to a vaccine, yes.

BY MR. MARGULIES:

Q Do you believe that there are legitimate needs or bases to research the biological mechanisms underlying homeopathic drugs?

A Yes.
Q Do you understand that Dr. Lee in his report asserted that anyone who's doing --

MR. GOMEZ: Object as to what's in the report and what's been testified to, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. MARGULIES:
Q Do you understand that Dr. Lee testified that anyone who's doing research in homeopathy is biased?

A I was told that that was his testimony.
Q What's your response to that?
A A scientist follows the data. And if you have an area of research that you're doing and you're a researcher, you should follow the information that you obtain in your own work as well as what you learn about in the research literature.

```
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Q Do you believe that it is proven at this point that nanoparticles are the reason why homeopathic drugs are effective?

A Not directly, no.
Q And what more work do you think needs to be done before you would be able to reach a conclusion about something like that?

A Well, there are several studies suggesting certainly that hormesis occurs with homeopathically prepared materials in animals and to some extent cells. I've actually recently reviewed a paper that demonstrated that as well that I presume will be forthcoming in the literature at some point.

So the work that has to be done is to show the hormetic effects of the particular remedy that's been characterized from the standpoint of a nanoparticle hypothesis, if you will, or nanoparticle finding, and then take a look at the correlations or the association between the findings of the particles and their properties, which turn out to be much more important than just are they there or not, and the biology.

Q Dr. Bell, as a researcher, is it important -- do you need to know how a drug works to reach a conclusion about whether it works?

A No.
Q So why are you doing this research?

A Because of the debates in the field, my own curiosity about what the answer is to that type of question. Q Can you characterize for us -- you said you published some of the studies you've done. Have you published other articles in the scientific literature regarding nanoparticles?

A I've published a number of papers on nanoparticles.
Q Do you recall approximately how many?
A I think there are at least ten of them perhaps. I'd have to count what's in the CV.

Q They're all in your CV?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And other than the original research that you participated in, what other types of papers have you written?

A I'm sorry. In the nanoparticle area or --
Q In the nanoparticle area; correct.
A I've reviewed literature in infectious disease and in cancer with colleagues who had particular interest in that and how it might relate to the nanoparticle literature. And I've also reviewed -- again I've gone as far as I could at the time. I keep learning new information. But I have written several papers about the way homeopathic medicines are made and how that might relate to modern nanotechnology methods.

Q Thank you. I'm going to shift gears and talk about a different topic now if that's okay.

I want to talk about your work with Standard Homeopathic Company.

A Okay.
Q You said you were approached by Dr. Borneman maybe about ten years; is that correct?

A Probably.
Q And it was at a conference?
A That's what I recall.
Q And what did he ask you to do?
A I believe he asked me if $I$ would be interested in
consulting for his company to advise him about trends in the medical literature that related both to research and homeopathy and also to the kinds of symptom problems that his products addressed.

Q Had you known him before this time?
A I knew of him because I had heard his name, but I had not met him.

Q Did you agree to take that consulting job on?
A Yes.
Q What type of time commitment were you asked to give?
A I don't recall. It was a very limited part-time responsibility. I was still finishing some of my grant research, and I was still not emeritus or retired from the

```
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university, per se.
Q Has your time commitments to the company changed over
the last ten years?
A Yes.
Q What currently is your time commitment to the company?
A Approximately 20 hours a week.
Q So about half time if 40 hours is a full-time week?
A Yes.
Q So you were asked to consult on literature and you
accepted?
A Yes.
Q So what did you start doing for Standard and for
Dr. Borneman?
A Literally doing the kinds of searches setting up Google
alerts to tell me what was coming through in the current
findings, looking for new information in the field that
might present perspective on the medicines that he made.
Q Did you understand that Dr. Borneman or Standard didn't
have a good understanding of the medicines that they made at
the time he retained you?
A No.
Q Okay. Did your job change over any period of time?
A The job has changed in a variety of ways. One of the
first things that he wanted me to address was finding
external researchers who would be able to serve as principal
Lisa M. Gonzalez, Official Reporter
investigators on research projects studying his products.
That was the first aspect of that that was added.
Q And did you do so for him?
A Yes.
Q Who did you identify as potential external
investigators?
A Well, there were various people and there have been over the years. The first person I identified because of the company's prior work with her was Dr. Jennifer Jacobs. Q Did you identify James Taylor, Dr. James Taylor, as a potential investigator?

A No, not at that time.
Q Do you know if he ever became an investigator for Standard?

A He became an independent investigator later on, yes.
Q And was that through you or somebody else?
A It was through Dr. Jacobs because they had -- as I understand it, they had worked at the same university, the University of Washington.

Q What other things were you asked by Standard to do over the years?

A As the work with them evolved, they wanted me to assist them in identifying ways of evaluating adverse event reports that came in from consumers.

Q What's an adverse event report from a consumer?

A The FDA, the Federal Drug Administration, basically requires any manufacturer of products to report to them particularly if there is serious adverse events, so some untoward medical outcome that could be at the serious level, so serious that it was life-threatening and the person needed emergency medical intervention, or so minor that they developed a rash. And we don't report the rashes, but the FDA does regulate that type of information.

Q And how were you determining what events needed to be reported to FDA? Are there some rules about --

A Yes. The FDA provides anyone doing both research on medication or someone who's manufacturing medication to follow certain guidelines.

Q Do the reports -- if somebody calls and says I have a rash, does it need to be determined that it's related causally to the use of the medicine in order to determine that it's an adverse event?

A No.
Q What other things have you done for Standard beyond what you've discussed for us already, or have we hit it all?

A We may have hit the basic description.
Q And you're compensated by Standard for the number of hours you work for them; is that correct?

A That's correct.
Q And the work that you've done for us in this case --
preparing a report, giving a deposition, and coming to
trial -- do you get paid extra for that?
A No.
Q Have you had an opportunity to be involved in any
clinical research that was done by Standard Homeopathic
Company?
A Yes. When I was first asked to take over identifying
investigators, Dr. Jacobs I was told had just finished a
study on teething tablets, and I reviewed the findings with
her. So that was the beginning.
Q What was the study that she'd done just before you
arrived?
A As I understood it, it was a pilot study to identify
the feasibility of doing further work with the product to
determine its effects on children who were judged by their
parents to be teething.
Q Can you describe what you meant by a pilot study, maybe
give us a little bit of detail about how the study was done.
A In research there's usually multiple phases of doing
research, and you have to often do preliminary work of some
type in order to identify what could be done in a larger
scale study with a larger number of people and with certain
methodologies.

So you have to determine that -- one of the most fundamental things that they ask at NIH or any other grant
agency, including at Hyland's, is can you get subjects; do
you have a method of recruitment where you can actually
identify people who might be able and willing to enroll in a
study.
Q Did the study, the pilot study that Dr. Jacobs had
done, was it a placebo-controlled trial?
A I don't believe it was.
Q And I think you said you were evaluating whether to do
a follow-up study to that when you came on?
A Yes.
Q I'd like you to turn, if you wouldn't mind, to the book
that's in front of you to Exhibit 41, page 1. Sorry --
Exhibit 74-1.
A (Witness complies.)
Q Do you have that, Dr. Bell?
A Yes, I do.
Q Do you recognize that exhibit?
A Yes.
Q What is it?
A It is an --
Q I think you need to speak into the microphone.
A I'm sorry. It's an email that I sent to Mr. Borneman
talking about what Dr. Jacobs was hoping to accomplish in a
follow-up study to her pilot study.
Q So was this email discussing -- so we've heard some
Lisa M. Gonzalez, Official Reporter
testimony from some other folks about a randomized control
trial done by Dr. Jacobs. You and I haven't discussed it
yet, but was this email talking about, when it says key
differences from the last project, was the last project a
randomized control trial or the pilot study that you were
just discussing?
A To my understanding it was the pilot study.
Q Okay. And you were recommending in this email that
Dr. Borneman approve a new study; correct?
A Yes.
Q In the first paragraph it says parents would receive a
randomly assigned packet of either active Hyland's teething
tablets or indistinguishable placebo tablets. Do you see
that?
A Yes.
Q Was that study conducted?
A Yes.
Q And did you -- were you ever informed of the results of
that study?
A I was, yes.
Q And what were you informed -- by whom were you informed
of the results of that study?
A I believe by Dr. Jacobs.
Q Do you recall what she told you were the results of the
study?

MR. GOMEZ: Objection. Hearsay.
THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. MARGULIES: Your Honor, I believe this goes to effect on a listener, non-hearsay purpose.

THE COURT: All right. It is admitted solely for
the purpose of showing the effect on the listener.
You may answer.
THE WITNESS: She indicated to me that there appeared to be a small difference that was statistically significant, wasn't clear about clinical significance between the two groups, the placebo and the tablet. Q In favor of the placebo or in favor of the teething tablet?

A In favor of the group that we were told had received the placebo.

Q And did this result make sense to you as a researcher? A No.

Q All right. What did you do to try to respond to -what did you do to evaluate the report or the information that you received from Dr. Jacobs with regard to the result of this teething tablet study?

A We reconfirmed that the tablets had been correctly
labeled as best we could -- this was an after-the-fact question -- and that those had been what had been provided by the research staff to the parents.

Q Were you able to draw any conclusions from this study about the meaning of its results?

A No.
Q Why not?
A There were many difficulties that revealed that while the investigator had a design that had sounded reasonable to start with, that there were a number of questions that came up again in the way the study was conducted.

Q Can you give us some examples of the issues that you identified and discussed with regard to how the study was designed and conducted.

A One of the biggest issues in teething, it turned out, is that children may or may not be teething, first of all, when a parent thinks they are. They usually are but not always. And the duration of the time between the parent believing the symptoms of teething have started and when the tooth shows up is extremely variable.

Q And what is that variability? What concern did that cause you in interpreting the results of this study?

A This study went on for quite a few doses and quite a bit of time compared with the acute use that the company and the FDA expect an acute use to be used for.

Q Can you explain? What do you mean by the acute use that the company expected?

A Well, an acute problem lasts in theory about seven
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days. And if a condition goes past that, you are usually encouraged to consult your physician to see if there's anything more about a diagnosis or treatment plan that might be done. And this study, I believe, went on for over a month on average with the children.

Q What conclusions were you able to draw in this study at the end of it?

A That it was not definitive in giving us an answer.
Q Have you considered doing any follow-up studies on teething tablets to try to get a more definitive answer? A Yes.

Q What has that follow-up consisted of?
A We have contacted an investigator --
MR. GOMEZ: I've got to object, Your Honor, based on the prior discussion.

MR. MARGULIES: I think we're being consistent with the prior discussion at this point.

THE COURT: Well, at this point I don't know what the answer is going to be, so let me --

MR. GOMEZ: I'll object to the question what have you considered doing as follow-up to that study, Your Honor.

THE COURT: To the extent that the question is potentially broad, perhaps you can rephrase your question.

MR. MARGULIES: I'll be happy to, Your Honor. BY MR. MARGULIES:

Q Have you discussed potentially hiring other
investigators to do an additional study?
A Yes.
Q At this time what is the status of those discussions?
A We have not moved forward. We have not identified a specific investigator at this point.

Q Have you discussed potential different methodologies to do a study that would avoid some of the issues you raised in your testimony today?

A Yes.
Q Are you familiar with a study on the Hyland's 4 Kids Cold 'n Cough product by Dr. James Taylor at the University of Washington?

A Yes.
Q Were you involved at all in the development of that study?

A Well, as my position evolved, I was involved with discussions with Dr. Taylor and Dr. Jacobs who was his co-investigator in this study where they were developing the protocol and I was trying to advise them on methodologic concerns or questions. I wasn't even advising them. I was asking them questions about their protocol plan.

Q So we've heard that there was a pilot study first and then a randomized control trial. Is that your understanding?

A Yes.
Q Were you involved in the development of the pilot study?

A I believe I was.
Q Okay. And do you recall what the results of the pilot study were?

A As I recall, they were that the Cough 'n Cold 4 Kids product was effective in improving the symptoms that the children had had. And Dr. Taylor at that point had a comparison study that he had previously done where he put the data he had in context of that previous study.

Q So let's see if we can break that down. You said the study showed that the product was effective. What did you mean by that?

A That it appeared that when children received that product, that their cold and cough symptoms improved. Q Was this placebo controlled?

A I don't believe it was.
Q And then you said he compared that to another study. Do you recall what that other study was?

A As I understand it, it was a study he had done using Dimetapp, which was a commercial over-the-counter product which at the time was available for children, and placebo. Q Was Standard involved in the Dimetapp study? A No.

Q Do you know whether the method in the Dimetapp study was similar to the method that he was using in the pilot study?

A It was my understanding that it was similar in some senses.

Q And did I understand you right that he compared the results of the pilot study to the results of the Dimetapp study and found that they were similar in terms of effectiveness?

A He found that the product I believe was somewhat more effective than the Dimetapp.

Q And he made a proposal to Standard to do a randomized control trial based on the conclusion of that pilot study?

A Yes.

Q And did you evaluate the study proposal that he made?
A I believe I did.
Q Did Standard approve the proposal that Dr. Taylor made to do the study on Cough 'n Cold?

A Yes.
Q Did you have input into the design of the study?
A Again, $I$ was involved in conference call discussions with Dr. Taylor and Dr. Jacobs periodically about their design.

Q Was Dr. Jacobs a participant in these discussions in terms of the design of the study?

A Yes.
Q What were the end points that were being discussed to be evaluated in this study? What were the measurements that they were looking at doing?

A Well, as I understood it, based on Dr. Taylor's previous study with Dimetapp, he was looking -- wanting to look at one-hour post-dose changes in the symptoms of the cold and cough.

Q And did Dr. Jacobs have any viewpoints on what end points ought to be studied?

A Yeah. She was not --
MR. GOMEZ: Hearsay objection.
MR. MARGULIES: Non-hearsay purpose, Your Honor.
THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
THE WITNESS: Dr. Jacobs from her experience in doing both clinical care of patients with homeopathy and her experience as an investigator, the various other studies, felt that a more appropriate set of outcomes would be measured twice a day where the parent would basically in their mind think back over half a day's period of time and say this is how my child's doing now.

Q Were Dr. Taylor's objectives included in the study?
A Yes.
Q And were Dr. Jacobs' objectives included in the study?
A Yes.

Q Did you have an opinion when you were party to these discussions as to what were the right objectives to be measured?

A Not specifically, no.
Q Okay. And you understand that Dr. Taylor's objectives became the primary objectives?

A Yes.
Q And what does it mean in a study to have primary objectives versus secondary objectives?

A Well, the study design requires more considerations than just what you're going to test. It also requires an understanding of how many subjects or some sense of how you get to the plan, about how many subjects you're going to recruit and run through the study. That's what's called statistical power.

Q And how does primary versus secondary objectives play into statistical power?

A At some level the statistical power is calculated based on the outcome measure that you plan to use, so you have to be sure it's the outcome measure you want to use.

Q And the one hour post dose was chosen to be the primary objective; is that correct?

A That's my understanding.
Q All right. And were you aware whether Dr. Taylor did a power calculation based on that primary end point?

A That was my understanding, yes.
Q If you wouldn't mind turning to Exhibit 181, please.
A (Witness complies.)
Q Do you have that in front of you?
A Yes.
Q Do you recognize that?
A Yes.
Q What is it?
A It is a proposal for randomized control trial of Hyland's Cold 'n Cough 4 Kids in children two to five years old.

Q Is this the proposal Dr. Taylor provided to Standard that you reviewed?

A Yes.
Q Let's turn to page 7 if you wouldn't mind.
MR. MARGULIES: Tom, if we could have the first full paragraph enlarged, that would be great. Thank you. BY MR. MARGULIES:

Q On page 7 under the heading Sample Size and Power Calculation, is this something you read at the time -- well, let me back up. Who approved the Taylor study at Standard? Who approved the funding of it?

A In the end I was asked to give an opinion, but the ultimate decision about whether to fund it as far as I understood came from Dr. Borneman.

Q And you would have reviewed this entire protocol in order to recommend whether to proceed; correct?

A Yes, I would have.
Q And did you read this sample size and power calculation at the time you read the proposal?

A Yes.
Q What did you understand this particular paragraph to be discussing? What was Dr. Taylor doing here?

MR. GOMEZ: Object. Calls for speculation. Lack of foundation.

THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. MARGULIES:
Q Dr. Bell, you're familiar, having done clinical trials, with power calculations?

A Yes.
Q You've done them yourself?
A My statisticians have done them, yes.
Q You understand the words in this paragraph and what
they mean?
A Yes.
Q And you understood it at the time you approved --
MR. GOMEZ: Object to leading, Your Honor. The questions are leading.

THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. MARGULIES:
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Q Did you understand the words in this paragraph at the time you read the proposal from Dr. Taylor?

A Yes. Some of them were statistical terms which I had some familiarity with.

Q All right. The first sentence says that: In a previous study of an OTC cold medication using a similar design as we used in the pilot study, we found that parents reported improvement in runny nose, nasal congestion, and cough after 57.5, 50.6, and 43.1 percent, respectively, doses of placebo.

Do you recall, was this the Dimetapp study that you were referring to earlier?

A That would be my understanding, yes.
Q And then: After averaging these rates of improvement, we estimate that parents will report improvement in each specific symptom of URI after approximately 50.4 doses of placebo. URI is what?

A Upper respiratory infection. Basically a cold. Q And then it states: By contrast, in the pilot study of the homeopathic remedy, improvement in these same symptoms was noted after an average of 62.7 percent of doses. Where did you understand that this -- well, strike that. Based on this difference we would need data on 512 doses of study medication. Is this a power calculation of a type that you are familiar with?

A It is a type of power calculation.
Q Is it common for power calculations to determine what you estimate the response to be in the placebo group and in the treatment group?

A You have to take into account what you estimate those on both sides.

Q And what, then, if you run it through a statistical calculation of the estimated effect of the placebo in the control group, what answer do you get out of the power calculation?

A It helps you identify the total number of subjects that you would actually have to recruit and enroll in the study on the assumption of certain levels of dropout, which are typically -- you know, many studies are 10 to 15 percent. Q And did Dr. Taylor actually calculate the number of participants he needed to get, assuming a dropout rate in this proposal?

A Yes.
Q What number did he tell you he needed to get?
A In this proposal it says that they plan for a total sample of 400 study participants.

Q And how many did he believe he needed to get data from after dropouts?

A I believe he said he needed data from 356 patients, each taking four doses.

Q So if one doesn't meet -- if you're doing a study and you do a predefined power calculation and you don't meet it and the results are not statistically significantly different between one group and the other, what conclusions can you draw from those results?

A That the investigators needed to have run more subjects. You can't draw conclusions.

Q Can you say that the product's not more effective than a placebo if you haven't collected enough subjects and the results are not statistically significant?

A No. That's called a type 2 error.
Q What's type 2 error?
A In statistics as $I$ understand it, it is that there is a true effect there but it was missed by the way the study actually was done.

Q So a false negative?
A A false negative.
Q Okay. Thank you.
Can you turn to Exhibit 191, please.
A (Witness complies.)
Q Do you recognize that document?
A Yes.
Q What is it?
A I believe it's a summary of findings that Dr. Taylor provided us with his calculations of the statistics from the

```
study.
```

Q It's dated June 1, 2014. Did you receive it on or
around that day?
A I believe I did.
Q Okay. Do you know if there have been -- well, strike
that. Was this a complete statistical analysis in your mind
of this study?
A No.
Q What was missing?
A Well, he didn't even actually provide us with the
information about how he approached doing the statistics and
really wasn't very clear about the baseline status of the
children and a variety of other descriptive things that one
would want to know, including concomitant medication use and
controlling for that type of thing.
Q What's important about baseline? Why was that an issue
for you?
A In any study if people are already better, they are at
what might be called a ceiling effect. They can't get any
further better. If they are very close to bottom, they have
a much larger room within which they could improve.
Q So what is the issue, then, with regard to baseline?
A The baseline is something you often have to control
for. You randomize the subjects to the two different
groups, but randomization sometimes fails to put people who
are completely comparable into the two groups. At that points it's especially important to actually include a control for the baseline status where everybody started in order to make sure that you weren't missing an effect or finding an effect that wasn't really there.

Q Is that a statistical analysis that's done after you've collected the data?

A It's part of the -- yes, after you collect the data.
Q And you said concomitant medications. What do you mean by that?

A Medications that the children might have received during the course of participation in the study.

Q Did this report contain any analysis of the data?
A It did in terms of having an analysis, yes.
Q Did it have any discussion by Dr. Taylor about what the results meant?

A Not much to my recollection.
Q Did you have any discussions with Dr. Taylor at this time about this study and what the results meant?

A I believe part of what I may have discussed with him was around the statistical analysis and asking for him to be considering some other factors in his analysis.

Q Did he give you an oral report on this study beyond the written report?

A He probably did. I don't recall.

Q Did you have an understanding from reading this report that he sent you what the results of the study were?

A No.
Q Did you have -- did you ask anyone with expertise in biostatistics to take a look at the data to help you analyze it?

A Yes.

Q Who did you ask to do that?
A Initially I asked a consultant to the company Hyland's whose name is Audrey Brooks. She's a Ph.D. And ultimately for purposes of publication I asked Dr. Brooks to identify an independent statistician who might give us further insight.

Q When you say for the purposes of publication, what did you mean?

A The agreement between Hyland's and Dr. Taylor at the University of Washington was that he would publish his paper regardless of findings, and I was concerned after I reviewed his report and discussed it with our statistical consultant that his analysis was not sufficiently sophisticated compared with what $I$ knew usually was done in grants and projects that I have done. And so I wanted more input before he moved ahead with publishing.

Q Did you discuss this with Dr. Brooks?
A Yes.

Q Is Dr. Brooks a biostatistician?
A Yes.
Q Did you ask her to do the work?
A I asked her to do some of it, yes.
Q Did you ask her to do the work to assist Dr. Taylor with the publication?

A I recall that Dr. Taylor really hadn't -- he hadn't identified a statistician, and I felt that someone working who was a consultant for the company would not be the best person to do that analysis for a published paper.

Q And I think you said you asked Dr. Brooks to identify a biostatistician. Was she able to do so?

A Yes.
Q Do you know who that biostatistician was?
MR. GOMEZ: I object at this point, citing to Volume II of Dr. Bell's deposition at page 186, 16 to 25, and the representations by counsel therein about this subject matter.

MR. MARGULIES: I'm sorry. May I have the page reference again, please.

MR. GOMEZ: Volume II, 186, 16 to 25.
Does the clerk care to look at my copy?
THE COURT: What page again?
MR. GOMEZ: 186, lines 16 to 25.
MR. MARGULIES: Oh, I'm not going to go into
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anything that --
```

    MR. GOMEZ: -- we're not going to offer her to
    testify about.
MR. MARGULIES: Correct.
THE COURT: All right. Based upon Mr. Margulies'
response, you can proceed.
MR. MARGULIES: Thank you.
BY MR. MARGULIES:
Q Do you know who Dr. Brooks was able to identify?
A Yes.
Q Who was that?
A I believe her name is Dr. Susanne Doyle.
Q Where was Dr. Doyle employed?
A At the University of Washington.
Q Is she also a biostatistician?
A Yes.
Q Did she have any prior affiliation with Standard
Homeopathic Company or Hyland's?
A No.
Q Did she have any prior affiliation with you?
A No.
Q Did you know her?
A No.
Q Did you know what she was going to say before she was
contacted?
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A No.
Q Was she told to your knowledge anything about what to do with Dr. Taylor?

A It was to my knowledge she was asked to work with him as he wrote his paper up on the statistical aspects of his paper.

Q And was she to your knowledge given any directions about how to work with Dr. Taylor?

A No.
Q Thank you, Dr. Bell.
Do you believe that the Taylor clinical trial is conclusive on the question of whether Cold 'n Cough 4 Kids is effective for treating cold and cough in kids?

A I do not believe it's conclusive.
Q Why not?
A The data that we have available at this point and in
the past suggests that there was -- that not enough subjects were run to meet Dr. Taylor's own statistical power calculations which basically said he didn't run enough subjects to come up with an answer; and if there was an effect there, it would be missed.

Q Have you seen any reports of any abstracts on this study?

A Yes.
Q What did you see?

A I saw an abstract that was presented at a conference in Rome that was sponsored by the Homeopathic Research Institute sometime I believe in June of this year. Q Did you know that this was going to be presented before you saw the abstract?

A I don't recall knowing that.
Q How did you find out about the abstract?
A Dr. Jacobs called me after she had gone to the conference and said she wanted to share what she had learned at the conference with me.

Q Based on your understanding of the agreement between Standard and the University of Washington, whose decision is it to present this paper at a conference or publish it in the scientific literature?

A That's entirely in the hands of the investigators.
Q And who are the investigators?
A Dr. Taylor and Dr. Jacobs.
Q Okay. Thank you. Do you have any control over what they say in terms of the reports of that study?

A I do not have control, no.
Q So if they want to report that the study conclusively shows that Cold 'n Cough 4 Kids isn't effective, you have no ability to stop that?

A That's correct.
Q And if they want to report that it is conclusively

```
effective, do you have an ability to stop that?
```

A No.
Q Thank you.
I'd like to switch gears yet once more and promise
this will be the last time and talk a little bit about some
of the products that are involved in this case. Are you
familiar with the products that are involved in this case?
A Yes.
Q And have you reviewed the ingredients of them over the
course of this case or otherwise in your consulting with
Standard?
A Yes, both.
Q Have you reviewed the ingredients of the products in
this case in the homeopathic literature to assess whether
they are in fact effective for the uses for which they're
indicated?
A Yes.
Q When I say the word homeopathic literature, what does
that mean to you?
A Well, it would be two levels. One would certainly be
the research literature we've been discussing in part, but
the other part is there is a very extensive clinical
literature that's been developed within the field to assist
clinicians who treat patients for either acute self-limited
problems or chronic illness to understand more about the
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```
range of options they have for treating individual patients.
```

Q Does that clinical literature have a name?
A It's called Materia Medica.
Q And is there more than one Materia Medica?
A Yes, there are.
Q Okay. Can you recall the names of any of the more
prominent authors of Materia Medica?
A In medical considerations one of the most commonly used
is Materia Medica by William Borkey, an M.D. who I believe
was writing his book in the late -- in the early 1900s.
Q Any other prominent authors of Materia Medica that you
consider prominent?
A These are all compendia. Constantine Herring, James
Tyler Kent, and I believe Clark. I can't remember his first
name.
Q John Henry?
A John Henry sounds correct.
Q When you say these are all compendia, what do you mean
by that?
A Whoever the author is was collecting the clinical
experience that was documented at the time they wrote that
material, organized by the specific individual homeopathic
medicine and organized typically in Materia Medica with what
would be called the medicine or review of systems. So it
starts with symptoms that might affect the mind and
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behavior, and it goes all the way through head, ears, eyes, nose, throat, back, limbs.

Q Sexual organs?
A Sexual organs, all body parts.
Q Okay. Did you consult any other literature -- I think you mentioned the clinical research. Was there other literature you consulted in looking at the ingredients of these products to determine their effectiveness?

A Not directly that I recall, no.
Q Did you consult any herbal literature?
A Yes.
Q What is the herbal literature?
A There is research -- again, many of the traditional forms of medicine in the world, not just in the United States but in the world, often involve the use of plant-based material to help with various conditions. And these are sometimes referred to as herbs, and they are not necessarily diluted at all. They are simply often an alcohol-based extract of whatever that plant material is. And in homeopathy that would be called a mother tincture sometimes. But in the herbal literature the focus is the plant and its actual effects on living beings.

Q Can you give us some examples of any herbs that you understand are in the products that are in this case.

A An example might be chamomile, which is something that
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many of us would get in a chamomile tea bag and use if we
had an upset stomach or we were anxious, having trouble
sleeping, that kind of thing.
Q Thank you.
Please turn to Exhibit 203-1 if you wouldn't mind.
MR. MARGULIES: Would you zoom in on the active
ingredients.
BY MR. MARGULIES:
Q Do you recognize this, Dr. Bell?
A Yes.
Q And it's the labeling for the Calms Forté. If you look
at the active ingredients on the back, do you see that?
A Yes, I do.
Q Are you familiar with these active ingredients?
A Yes.
Q Have you reviewed the homeopathic or herbal literature
to determine whether they're appropriate and effective for
the uses that are indicated on the purpose and uses on that
label?
A Yes.
Q And are they in fact appropriate and effective for
those purpose and uses?
A Yes.
Q Thank you.
Let's turn next to Exhibit 1011 and let's look at
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the drug facts back panel, please. Do you recognize this?
A Yes.
Q It's the labeling for teething tablets. On the back drug facts we see some active ingredients and purpose. Have you reviewed the homeopathic and herbal literature to address whether these ingredients are appropriate and effective for the purposes and uses listed on the label for this product?

A Yes.
Q And are those ingredients appropriate and effective for those uses according to that literature?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Thank you.
Turn to the next one, 1012. And this is a
two-pager. It's 1012-1 and 1012-2. We'll take a quick look at the front of the box, and then we'll turn to page 2, which is the back.

A Okay.
Q Do you recognize this product?
A Yes.
Q This is the migraine, Hyland's migraine headache relief?

A I'm sorry. I may have baby teething tablets -- oh, I see it.

Q You have it?
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look at the back panel there, are you familiar with those
ingredients?
A Yes.
Q Have you reviewed the homeopathic and herbal literature
to determine whether those ingredients are appropriate and
effective for the purposes and uses listed on the labeling
of the leg cramps on Exhibit 42-30?
A Yes.
Q And are those ingredients appropriate and effective for
the purposes and uses listed on the labeling?
A Yes.
Q Thank you.
Let's turn to Exhibit 6-1. Do you see that one?
A Yes.
Q This is leg cramps but without the quinine?
A Yes.
Q All right. And if we turn to Exhibit 6-2, do you see
on the drug facts -- have you reviewed the homeopathic
literature and herbal literature to determine whether the
active ingredients are appropriate and effective for the
purposes and uses listed on the labeling of this product?
A Yes.
Q And according to that literature, are these active
ingredients appropriate and effective for those uses as
indicated on the labeling?

A Yes.
MR. MARGULIES: Pardon me one moment, Your Honor, please.
(Defense counsel conferring)
BY MR. MARGULIES:
Q Dr. Bell, let's turn back to 1013-1 if you wouldn't mind. We had a little glitch and I was using one that wasn't in evidence.

Do you recognize the labeling on page 1013-1 and 1013-2?

A Yes.
Q What is it?
A In the book that I'm looking at, it's colic tablets. Q This is a Hyland's colic tablets?

A Yes.
MR. MARGULIES: Your Honor, we would move 1013-1 and 1013-2 into evidence.

MR. GOMEZ: No objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. They are admitted.
MR. MARGULIES: Thank you.
(Exhibits Nos. 1013-1 and 1013-2 received)
BY MR. MARGULIES:
Q And if you'd turn to page 2, please. Looking at the drug facts on the back panel, have you reviewed the homeopathic and herbal literature to determine whether the
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active ingredients listed on the label are appropriate and
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effective for the purposes and uses listed?
A Yes.
Q And are those active ingredients appropriate for the
purposes and uses listed?
A Yes.
Q Thank you.
Let's turn to 1016-1 and 1016-2, please. Do you
recognize this?
A Yes.
Q What is it?
A It is the Hyland's Defend Cold 'n Cough product.
Q Turn to page 2, 1016-2. In the drug facts have you
reviewed the homeopathic and herbal literature to determine
whether these active ingredients are appropriate and
effective for the uses and purposes listed on the labeling?
A Yes.
Q And are the active ingredients appropriate for the
purposes and uses listed?
A Yes.
Q Turning to page 1017-1 and 1017-2, please, the labeling
for the Hyland's Defend Cold 'n Cough Night.
A Yes.
Q Are you familiar with this?
A Yes, I am.

Q And on page 2 under the drug facts, have you reviewed the homeopathic and herbal literature to determine whether the active ingredients are appropriate and effective for the purposes and uses listed on the labeling?

A Yes.
Q And are the active ingredients appropriate and effective for the purposes and uses listed on the labeling?

A Yes.
Q Turn to Exhibit 1019, please.
A (Witness complies.)
Q Do you see that?
A Yes, I do.
Q This is the Seasonal Allergy Relief labeling?
A Yes.

Q And if you turn to 1019-2 under the drug facts, have you reviewed the homeopathic and herbal literature to determine whether these active ingredients are appropriate and effective for the purposes and uses listed on the labeling?

A Yes.
Q And are the active ingredients appropriate and effective for the purposes and uses listed on the labeling? A Yes.

Q Finally I would ask you to turn to Exhibit 1018. Do you recognize this 1018-1 and 2? Do you recognize this?

A 1018-1 and 2 appear to be Hyland's Defend Cough.
Q Is this the same product you know as Hyland's Cough?
A I do not know with certainty.
Q Okay. Well, we'll drop that one.
MR. MARGULIES: Your Honor, this would be a good
time to take a break if it's good with the court.
THE COURT: All right. I just wanted to make
clear for the record that Exhibit 1018 has not been admitted.

MR. MARGULIES: Correct.
THE COURT: All right. We'll take our afternoon break and return at 2:45.
(Recess taken at 2:29 p.m.;
proceedings resumed at 2:46 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Margulies.
MR. MARGULIES: Thank you, Your Honor. I think we got our exhibit confusion straightened out. I apologize it's not in the notebook, but we'll put it up on the screen, Exhibit 2010, if we could have that displayed. BY MR. MARGULIES:

Q Do you recognize that, Dr. Bell?
A Yes, I do.
Q The Hyland's cough labeling?
A Yes, I do.
MR. MARGULIES: If you could blow up the third
panel on the right side, indications and formula.
BY MR. MARGULIES:
Q Did you review the homeopathic and herbal literature to
determine whether the ingredients listed in this product
were effective and appropriate for the indications listed on
the labeling for this product?
A Yes.
Q And are the ingredients listed for this product
appropriate and effective for the indications on this
labeling?
A Yes.
Q Dr. Bell, thank you very much.
MR. MARGULIES: Your Honor, I don't have anything
further with this witness.
THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination.
MR. GOMEZ: Thank you.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. GOMEZ:
Q Good afternoon, Dr. Bell.
A Hello.
Q And you've been affiliated with Standard since 2006; is
that right?
A Yes.
Q And 2006 was the date of the teething tablet study that
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we just got done talking about. Do you remember that email? A I don't believe that was the date. It might have been in that vicinity, but $I$ don't know if it started exactly in 2006.

Q Does that sound about right? Do you recall that being one of your first projects once you came on board?

A Yes.
Q And you've actually been the medical director since 2011; is that right?

A Yes.
Q So you've been with the company now or affiliated in some capacity for nine years?

A Yes.
Q And a good part of that, at least in the beginning one of your first assignments was dealing with this clinical trial involving teething tablets?

A Yes.
MR. GOMEZ: And so could you put that up, August, 22. Thank you. BY MR. GOMEZ:

Q And so, Doctor, you understand that this case involves the products that are on the screen now; namely, teething tablets, Defend Cold 'n Cough Night, Defend Cold 'n Cough, Leg Cramps, Leg Cramps with Quinine, Migraine Headache Relief, Colic Tablets, Hyland's Cough, Seasonal Allergy

Relief, and Calms Forté?
A Yes.
Q And so we heard a little bit about the study that was conducted in 2006 involving teething tablets whereby the placebo participants reported better outcomes than those persons that received the actual product. Do you recall that discussion?

A I recall that, yes.
Q And you had determined that that was not a scientifically reliable study; correct?

A That is correct.
Q Likewise with the study that we discussed involving Defend Cold 'n Cough 4 Kids, do you recall that discussion?

A Yes.
Q And you determined that that study did not provide scientifically reliable data as well; correct?

A Yes.
Q Now, you recognize, Doctor, that your company -- that
is, Standard -- through its Hyland's products realized $\$ 96$ million in sales of these and other products?

MR. MARGULIES: Objection. Beyond the scope. THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: I was not familiar with that.
BY MR. GOMEZ:
Q Does that sound about right to you?

A I have no information.
Q Let me ask you this, then. It's true in the nine years that you've been affiliated with the company, you're aware of no clinical trial of any of these products that provides reliable scientific data to consumers that they actually work. Is that a fair statement?

A Can you rephrase that question.
Q Sure. We've talked about the two trials, right, one teething tablets, one Cold 'n Cough 4 Kids; right?

A Yes.
Q Looking at the products up here on the screen, you can point to no clinical study that your company has funded or allowed you to do that would help you provide reliable scientific data that any of these products actually help consumers; correct?

A Well, as I said, in the sense that the homeopathic literature supports the choice of the ingredients in those products, there is an extensive historical literature on that point.

Q Sure. Thank you, Doctor. I appreciate that, and we're quite familiar with the Materia Medica, and I guess there's some herbal literature, and there's the studies that you've read about. What I'm talking about is any clinical trial involving human beings with these combination products that are sold to consumers that demonstrate they actually work on
human beings. Can you cite one?
A In those specific products that was part of our portfolio that we've already discussed. There actually have been other studies that the company has funded.

Q None that we can present here today or that you can point to that demonstrate that any of these products actually relieve the symptoms that Hyland's promises to consumers; correct?

A There are no definitive studies on that topic at this point.

Q Now, let me ask you this, Doctor. Do you believe that your employer values medicine more or marketing more?

MR. MARGULIES: Objection. Lacks foundation.
Vague and ambiguous.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: I believe the company values homeopathy more.

BY MR. GOMEZ:
Q Would that fall within medicine?
A Yes.
Q So what was your budget last year to conduct clinical trials and testing on the products that your company sells to consumers?

A Well, as I said, I'm a consultant to the company, so I do not ask for a specific budget. That is managed
internally. And when a promising study is brought to my attention, I bring it to the attention of the company or vice versa for review.

Q Despite your ability to bring it to the attention of the company, there's not one actual test or clinical trial that you can point to that the company has conducted in the last nine years to support the conclusion that any of these products here actually help people; true?

A As I stated, there have been other studies that do indicate some of their other products do help people in the sense of an experimental trial.

Q Experimental trial. And so do you have a budget in mind? Do you believe you have unlimited funds to conduct clinical testing?

A No.

Q Do you believe you have $\$ 7.5$ million a year to conduct clinical testing?

A No.
Q So what would be your best estimate? Do you believe you have $\$ 1$ million a year to conduct clinical testing? MR. MARGULIES: Objection. Lacks foundation. THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. GOMEZ:
Q You had told us that you believe and it was your testimony you did not have $\$ 7.5$ million a year; true?

A It is true. I don't have any information on what budget I might be able to employ to do these studies. Q Have you had that -- I get the feeling that it would be important to you to demonstrate to this jury that those products actually work; is that fair?

MR. MARGULIES: Objection. Argumentative. Lacks foundation.

THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: It would be desirable, but as I indicated, the field of research in homeopathy requires a lot of additional research to be done to really determine the proper methodology for designing studies on each product for each indication. And that type of work is available to a limited extent in the literature at this point, but it is not specifically available to us. So there would probably be a multi-year program of effort to get to the point of doing the trials you're discussing. BY MR. GOMEZ:

Q Sure. And in terms of multi-year, this was something that your company first embarked upon with these products in 2006 or nine years ago; true?

A It is my understanding that the company funded other studies before I became affiliated with them.

Q Sure. So going back even further than nine years; true?

A As far as I understand, yes.
Q You certainly have -- you I think told us that you are at least tangentially involved in some studies involving nanostructures or the presence of nanostructures in homeopathic solutions; is that true?

A Yes. I have external grants, as I said, and the company itself has looked into setting up the capacity to look at that question.

Q Are you suggesting to the jury that you as the medical director of this company and Standard with revenue approaching $\$ 100$ million a year lack the technical ability to actually conduct clinical trials on these products?

MR. MARGULIES: Objection. Lacks foundation. Argumentative.

THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: I'm suggesting that it is well known in the homeopathic research literature that there are methodologic problems with applying -- again I'm assuming what you mean by a clinical trial -- that applying the methodology that most is useful for studying conventional drugs is not always completely appropriate for studying homeopathic medicines. And that is a generality in the field of complementary medicine as well. BY MR. GOMEZ:

Q Okay. And what I'm getting at, Doctor, is taking
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best example. Maybe Defend Cold 'n Cough, one of your
products, giving this product in its completed form to a
number of participants in a study and tracking to see if
they get better and comparing it with a placebo group and
not telling the two groups which got which. Is that
possible?
A It is theoretically possible.
Q But it's not happened that you know of in the nine
years since you became affiliated with the company as to any
of these products; true?
A Well, we've discussed some other studies, so we have
done it on some of those. And we have had discussions about
research with the leg cramps product.
Q Discussions are different than clinical trials; right?
A Yes.
Q Have you heard saying something like the road to
somewhere, $I$ can't recall, is laid with the best intentions?
An intention is different than a clinical trial; right?
A Well, it's not a question of intention. There are many
other factors that go into designing an appropriate study on
any product for any particular indication.
Q Thank you, Doctor.
And so what I'd like to do next if I can, we just
heard you go through a number of the ingredients and a
number of the products at issue. Do you recall that?
A Yes.
Q And we certainly appreciate you as a medical doctor
using your expertise and background to look at each of those
ingredients to ensure that they actually provide meaningful
medical benefits to consumers, and so I'd like to talk to
you a little bit about that. Okay?
A Okay.
MR. GOMEZ: So can you pull up 25 on the
PowerPoint, please.
BY MR. GOMEZ:
Q So this will be an example, Doctor, and this can be up
on the screen for you. Do you see this Defend Cold 'n Cough
product?
A Yes. Yes, I do.
Q So did the company -- that is, Standard -- consult with
you prior to --
MR. MARGULIES: I'm sorry. Excuse me, Your Honor.
I don't believe this is an exhibit. It looks like a
demonstrative from opening statement.
MR. GOMEZ: That's what it is.
MR. MARGULIES: Well, I would object to it being
shown.
THE COURT: It's a demonstrative.
MR. GOMEZ: Thank you.
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BY MR. GOMEZ:
Q Doctor, do you have this product in mind here, Defend Cold 'n Cough?

A I know the name of the product, yes.
Q Okay. So my question to you is: Certainly you as a medical doctor affiliated with the company, you of course provided input as to this formulation including the ingredients and dosages before this product was released to the public; true?

A Yes. To a certain extent, yes.
Q Okay. And so is it your testimony here to this jury that you actually were consulted prior to the release of the products at issue in this case?

A In the case of the Defend Cold 'n Cough product, I believe I was. I'm often sent proposed formulas that the pharmacists have developed, and I'm asked to review it as a homeopath in terms of the symptom indication.

Q So is it your testimony that you actually came up with the ingredients that are included in the Defend Cold 'n Cough?

A No.
Q In fact, can you tell me whether the Defend Cold 'n Cough contains the exact same ingredients as Hyland's Cough 'n Cold 4 Kids?

A I can't specifically say that without comparing the
labels head to head, not ingredient wise but potency wise. Q And do you understand that Hyland's -- when was Hyland's Cough 'n Cold 4 Kids first sold to the American public?

A I do not know.
Q Was that before you?
A I do not know.
Q Would it surprise you to learn that there's been testimony in this case that this product that you claim you had input regarding is the exact same formulation as was sold to kids as Hyland's Cough 'n Cold 4 Kids?

MR. MARGULIES: Objection. Beyond the scope. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: In the world of homeopathy, a remedy or medicine, a specific medicine, is indicated for symptom pattern or a particular picture of symptoms an individual might have. The age of the individual is not typically considered in the selection of the name of the ingredient. The type of symptoms the individual might have and the way they experience them is considered.

BY MR. GOMEZ:
Q Sure. So just my simple question to you is: It's true, is it not, that this product that we're looking at, Defend Cold 'n Cough, was simply a marketing decision to sell the exact same product that had been sold as Defend
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Cough 'n Cold 4 Kids to grownups?
MR. MARGULIES: Objection. Lacks foundation.
THE COURT: She can answer if she knows.
THE WITNESSS: I do not know.
BY MR. GOMEZ:
Q Have you met Thao Le?
A Yes.

Q Have you spoken to her about that subject?
A I don't recall that I did.
Q Have you met certainly Mr. Phillips back here?
A Yes.
Q And you claim that you had conversations with him about the ingredients contained in Defend Cold 'n Cough before it was released to the American public?

A I don't recall that I had specific conversations with any specific person. I recall that I was asked, and I don't remember who made those inquiries.

Q Now, you are a trained psychiatrist; is that right?
A Yes.
Q You are board certified in psychiatry?
A Yes.
Q And who provides that certification?
A The American Board of Neurology and Psychiatry.
Q And in that capacity as I understand it, you have prescribed medications to patients over the years?

A Yes.

Q You've also treated people with psychiatric conditions?
A Yes.

Q And so do you continue to treat patients in a psychiatric setting today?

A Not at this time.

Q When was the last time that you actually prescribed psychiatric medication or conventional medication to a human being?

A It would have -- well, again, I was teaching trainees, so I would have potentially done that up through approximately the year 2000.

Q You say potentially. Was that something you were commonly doing in 2000; that is, prescribing medication to human beings?

A Yes.

Q And when you did that, did you tell them that they could take as much or as little of the medication as they wanted?

A Typically not, no.

Q In fact, what kinds of medications were you dealing with in a psychiatric setting?

A In a psychiatric setting it depended on the problem the individual had. It could be drugs that were to treat psychotic symptoms or just agitation, anxiety, and
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    In the context of my taking care of elderly
    patients in inpatient settings, I worked with a geriatrician
who is an internal medicine doctor certified in taking care
of older patients, and as the physician of record $I$ would
commonly order things that my consultant experts in
geriatric medicine would suggest.
Q Thank you for that explanation. And certainly would
you actually write out a prescription for these medications?
A It depends.
Q How else -- how would the medications get into the
system of the people that you were treating?
A Well, $I$ would write orders if $I$ was in an inpatient
setting.

Q Thank you so much. And would those orders I imagine set forth the dosage and the frequency of the medication at issue?

A Typically in conventional medicine that's what's done. Q And when you say typically with regard to something like an antipsychotic medication, it's pretty much always done; true?

A Well, there are standard doses that are given on a regular basis. But in the case of a patient who might become agitated, there might be medication that would be recommended on an as-needed basis. It's sometimes referred
to in medicine as PRN.
Q Sure. I appreciate that. And so what about this
concept of placebos? Have you ever prescribed a placebo?
A Not specifically, no.
Q When you say not specifically, what does that mean?
A Well, in my research projects I sometimes included
placebo conditions in my study.
Q What about as a treating psychiatrist? Did you ever
provide placebos to your patients?
A I don't recall doing that, no.
Q Do you believe that would be ethical for you to do as a
psychiatrist, provide a placebo to one of your patients
without telling them?
A Without telling a patient, no. A person has to be
informed.
Q Why is that important?
A The risks of conventional medications are very
significant. Many of them have side effects that could even
be life-threatening if they're not taken appropriately, and
it would just be inappropriate to slip someone a medication.
In the world of psychiatry, we often have to go to court if
someone is refusing treatment in order to get a third-party
evaluation of whether it's appropriate to proceed.
Q What about in the field of homeopathy, as you've said,
a form of medicine, would it be ethical to provide placebos
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to consumers without telling them that's what you were
providing them?
A I don't think the question of ethical is in the realm
of what I do. I treat people with homeopathic medicine.
Q What about as the medical director of a company that
sells close to $\$ 100$ million of product a year? Do you
believe as the medical director it would be ethical for your
company to sell placebos to consumers without telling them?
MR. MARGULIES: Objection. Lacks foundation.
Argumentative.
THE COURT: Sustained as to argumentative.
BY MR. GOMEZ:
Q Now, Doctor, you are not an expert in the general
concept of nanoparticle research and conventional medicine;
are you?
A Not in conventional medicine, no.
Q And I appreciate that. It appears you do a lot of
reading. Is that fair to say?
A Yes. That's one part of what I do.
Q And where do you read all these articles? Where are
you physically when you're doing all the reading that you've
described?
A Most of the time $I$ have a home office, and $I$ have a
specific room in my house that's set aside for my work.
Q Okay. And where is your home office? Tucson?
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A Yes.
Q Now, you've never designed a nanoparticle as part of a drug delivery system; have you?

A Not specifically, no.
Q And you certainly did not design the products at issue in this case to generate nanoparticles of any particular specification or design; did you?

A No.
Q In terms of your day-to-day work, it sounds like most of the time is at your home in Tucson; is that true?

A Yes.
Q And so you don't work in a lab in which you have the ability to generate and produce nanoparticles for drug delivery; do you?

A I don't personally. Some of my collaborators in my current grant do.

Q Okay. You don't run a lab today?
A No.
Q Do you have Ph.D.'s and post-doctorate fellows working for you today that work specifically on generating nanoparticles for drug delivery?

A No.
Q Let me ask you this: Do you recall the testimony to the jury where you said you had heard this term nano and you began to do the research that you've described?

A Yes.
Q When was that about? What year?
A It would have been probably around 2006.
Q 2006. Does that sound -- I had heard that the article from India was 2010.

A Yes.
Q Does that sound better than 2006 or more accurate or --
A No. The nano bubble issue came up in the course of the work I was doing with Dr. Malloy at Penn State, and I believe there were several of us who were co-authors on a paper probably around 2006. I'd have to look at my CV to be sure.

Q That's okay. No problem. Is it true that the overwhelming majority of the research that you've done has been while you have been an employee of Standard?

MR. MARGULIES: Objection. Lacks foundation.
Mischaracterizes testimony.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: No.
BY MR. GOMEZ:
Q Not an employee. I'm sorry. As a consultant?
A As a consultant, no. I had grants for many years before I became a consultant for Hyland's.

Q What I'm getting at is nano and your interest in nanotechnology as it relates to homeopathics, and I believe
you said that you had first heard that term and become interested in or around 2006; is that right?

A Yes.
Q And it's true that since that time you have
continuously been employed as a consultant with Hyland's or as its medical director; true?

MR. MARGULIES: No objection.
THE WITNESSS: I've been employed as a consultant in both those capacities.

BY MR. GOMEZ:
Q Now, one of the things that you do for Hyland's, fair to say, is to provide it litigation support; that is, to lend your work as a researcher and doctor to its efforts in defending itself in court cases like this?

A In one sense, yes.
Q And so in the sense of you sitting here testifying and providing your opinions, you are being paid by Standard; correct?

A Yes, that would be true.
Q And you have written a number of very lengthy reports, and we appreciate the amount of work that you've done. But in terms of doing all the research and writing these reports, you were paid by the defendant in this case to do so; true?

A No.

Q I'm sorry?
A I was on my time associated with the company, but the work I did with nanoparticles was not all supported by the consulting fees that $I$ received from Hyland's.

Q Setting aside the research that you've done under a grant from a private foundation, what I'm getting at is the actual court reports that were filed in this case.

A Yes.
Q That was on Standard's time; correct?
A Yes.
Q Now, it's true, Doctor, that many of the changes in your career were forced by financial changes; true?

MR. MARGULIES: Objection. Vague.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. GOMEZ:

Q Was that your testimony in this case, Doctor, that many of the changes in your career were forced by financial changes?

A No.
MR. GOMEZ: Direct the Court and counsel to
Volume $I$, page 11, line 25 , through page 12, line 1.
I think the question actually begins on the very lengthy discussion.

MR. MARGULIES: I think taking it out of context would be inappropriate, Your Honor. No objection to reading
the entire question.
THE COURT: I don't even know where we are because I don't see what you're talking about.

MR. GOMEZ: We need to provide the first volume, I think, which is dated August 2nd, 2012.

THE COURT: Okay. I don't have the right volume. I have July $14^{\text {th }}$.

MR. MARGULIES: Oh, I'm sorry. I had the original.

MR. GOMEZ: So what I'm referencing is 11, 25, to $12,1$.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. What was your objection, Mr. Margulies?

MR. MARGULIES: I think it's taken out of context. I have no objection to the entire question and answer being read.

THE COURT: And, Mr. Gomez, you want to start at line 25 without a question?

MR. GOMEZ: Yes. I think the statement's freestanding, Your Honor. It's admittedly a narrative, but it's her narrative.

THE COURT: Well, I'll allow Mr. Margulies to redirect as to that question, but you may proceed.

MR. GOMEZ: Sure.
At 11, 25, under oath your testimony was:
"A Many of the changes in my career were forced by financial changes."

BY MR. GOMEZ:
Q Does that refresh your memory?
A I remember that there was such a statement. That is partly true.

Q Yes. And it's true that at a certain point there was a loss of funding in your grant support and you moved on to other funding; correct?

A Yes.
Q And it's true we heard earlier that you had at least three grants, maybe four on homeopathy funded by the National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine; true?

A Yes.
Q And that is the funding that you described to the jury; true?

A That was one part of it. There were two other grants that were providing me with support.

Q Are those both the private foundation grants?
A No. No. The grants -- one of them was a career development grant that covered a ten-year period for upwards of 50 percent of my salary, and the other was a T32 which is a research training grant where $I$ was the director of that. So those were additional grants and activities and
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Q That's fine. Thank you, Doctor. And so when you
received this money from the National Institute of Health,
that is the national government; right?
A Yes.
Q And they have an actual center designed to look at
complementary and integrative medicine; is that right?
A Yes.
Q And so they provided you funding to look at these
issues that you're involved with; correct?
A Yes.
Q And you reported back to them on the results that you
reached based on the money that they gave you; true?
A Yes.
Q And we'll talk about that in a little bit. You
understand at this point the National Institute of Health
after having considered all of the evidence, including the
research that you've done, has come to certain conclusions
about homeopathy?
A It is my understanding that they have not -- they have
expressed to the public that there's no evidence related to
the topic of homeopathy, which I've always found peculiar.
Q That must be disappointing to you after having provided
your own research to the government.
A It's not unusual for parts of the government to not
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know what other parts might be doing.
Q Sure. Now, when you first began association with
Standard, your job at the time was to give them advice on trends in the homeopathic research literature and the mainstream medical literature that might relate to market opportunities for their products or new products that they might actually develop in the future; true?

A That was -- yes.
Q And that was with Mr. Borneman; is that right?
A Primarily with Mr. Borneman, yes.
Q Now, in 2011 you became the medical director; true?
A Yes.
Q And did your job duties change once that happened?
A Yes.
Q Did your compensation change?
A Yes.
Q And it's true that when we spoke with you in 2012, you estimated that somewhere between 90 and 98 percent of your compensation was paid by Standard; true?

A I believe I did.
Q And it's true that you are a paid consultant to
Standard and Hyland's on scientific and medical issues and that you are compensated as an independent contractor through your own LLC; is that right?

A Yes.

Q Now, how much money did Standard pay you last year? MR. MARGULIES: Objection. Relevance. Privacy. THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. GOMEZ: All right.
BY MR. GOMEZ:
Q You understand that you are a witness in this case; true?

A Yes.
Q And you understand that one of the things that the jury might be interested in is whether you may have biases or some interest in the outcome of this litigation; right?

MR. MARGULIES: Objection. Argumentative.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. GOMEZ:

Q And so you have a financial interest in the well-being of this company and its continued business model; true?

A In one sense, yes. In another sense I'm approaching retirement age for real at this point, and I'm more concerned as a consumer of homeopathy that it be available to myself and other people.

Q Sure. And you understand that us over here, we're not trying to take away homeopathy. We're just trying to put the words on the label so that people know what they're buying. Do you understand that?

MR. MARGULIES: Objection. Lacks foundation. THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: I understand that's what you said, yes.

BY MR. GOMEZ:
Q Okay. And so I'll ask the question again just for the record: How much money did Hyland's pay you last year?

MR. MARGULIES: Same objections, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: I don't recall specific amounts, but
I believe the amount was probably around $\$ 125,000$. There were no bonuses related to any legal work.

BY MR. GOMEZ:
Q Now, today do you receive any funding from the national government?

A Not specifically, no.
Q And so no NIH grants through the Department of Health and Human Services?

A Not at this point. I'm building up to it with my pilot data.

Q You had told us that right now you have a grant from a private foundation; is that right?

A Yes.
Q What foundation is that?
A Well, there were two. The one that I published those
papers on is now over. It was a one-year grant basically by the AlterMed Research Foundation. I believe they're based in Colorado.

The other grant is -- I'm not the PI. I'm a consultant to the grant, and it's specifically related to nanoparticles because there have been other grants. And there is another grant I also consult on on another topic, but the other one is funded by the Hecht Memorial

Foundation. It's based I believe in Vancouver in Canada, and it is two different nanotechnology researchers who study in part cancer biology.

Q Who funds those foundations?
A They were privately endowed to my knowledge.
Q By whom?
A In the case of the Hecht Foundation, the best I know is that it was funded by a couple named John and Lotte Hecht. Q They were persons interested in homeopathy?

A No. As far as I know, the mission of that organization is very broad and I'm not part of it, so I can't speak to them.

Q Okay. We should ask them, I guess.
A Yes.
Q All right. Thank you.
Now, you would agree that bias has no place in science?

A Bias -- you do the best you can to control for bias. Bias is frequently found in people who do science.

Q Do you recall there was a brief discussion of you hiring an outside statistician to look at some items because you felt it would be better to have someone from outside of the company do the analysis? Do you recall that?

A Yes.
Q And that was because of bias; true?
A No.
Q You would agree that the bias of a researcher can undermine results?

A It could.
Q You certainly would not be an investigator or a researcher that had a financial interest in the outcome of the science that you were considering; would you?

A Right. And generally speaking the current conflict-of-interest rules prevent me right now from being a principal investigator on a federal grant. Q Because you are affiliated with this company?

A Yes. They've asked me to quit that position completely.

Q Yes. And it's true that on at least one occasion the University of Arizona has determined that your relationship with Hyland's represents a conflict of interest which would preclude you from receiving funds to study homeopathy; true?

A Not completely. It would preclude me from serving as a principal investigator. I was informed at this point as are all investigators at the University of Arizona that it is not permissible to have a vendor who makes a product that you're studying even if it's not their product, for you to be the principal investigator.

So I was instructed that $I$ could do so if I could find another member of the faculty who would be considered qualified to do homeopathy research as a principal investigator and who had no such relationships with any homeopathic companies.

Q Do you recall that you received a grant through Indian Health Services to look at the use of complementary medicine on Native American tribe reservations and you were specifically asked by the University of Arizona to exclude homeopathy?

A Yes.
Q And you told us that the university has very strong conflict-of-interest rules whereby an investigator who wants to be a principal investigator in an area in which he or she has a specific financial interest cannot be a principal investigator, and your relationship with Hyland's precluded that. Is that what we're talking about?

A It is in part connected with that. I was a co-principal investigator with a colleague, and actually we
were site principal investigators for a larger grant that was granted to a Native American researcher affiliated with the University of Arizona and another -- it was from, I believe, the National Health Service, the broad category. And that was when the University of Arizona was just beginning to implement their rules about conflict of interest.

Q Sure. And it was determined that you had a specific financial interest that precluded you from being a principal investigator associated with a grant studying homeopathy; true?

A Yes.
Q And did you appeal that decision?
A I asked for a meeting with the leaders of the conflict-of-interest office in order to understand more about what the rules were and how I could comply with them. Q Did you disagree that you had a specific financial interest in homeopathy? A No.

Q So you do agree that you have a specific financial interest in homeopathy and the industry associated with it? A Well, as I indicated, I have that and I have a personal interest as a patient.

Q Sure. Now, you have some theories as a scientist about how homeopathy may work; is that right?

A Yes.
Q And it's true that your theories are applicable to homeopathy as a whole, not just the products at issue in this case; true?

A Yes.
Q And so what I'd like to do if I may, Doctor, is just discuss some basic homeopathy principles. And I'll begin with the principle of like cures like. Do you have that in mind?

A I'm aware of that principle, yes.
Q Right. And you have testified, have you not, that the data does not confirm definitely that this core principle works in the entire field of homeopathy? That has not been tested at this point? Was that your testimony?

MR. MARGULIES: Objection. Beyond the scope of direct exam.

THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: It -- maybe.
BY MR. GOMEZ:
Q Maybe?
A Could you repeat the question.
Q Sure. I'm just getting to the very basic principle of
like cures like. And it's your testimony in this case that the data does not confirm definitively that this core principle works in the entire field of homeopathy. That has
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not been tested at this point; true?

MR. MARGULIES: Your Honor, I would repeat my objection, and it is grounded in the Court's motion in limine regarding the scope of expert testimony. I didn't go into topics like this with Dr. Bell, given the ruling, and I don't think it's appropriate on cross to allow that to occur as well.

THE COURT: I believe that during the direct you asked her whether it was in some ways similar to a vaccine. Is that not questioning about like?

MR. MARGULIES: In the context of -- correct, but I believe that the questioning here goes to data beyond which we discussed on direct on topics that the Court excluded.

THE COURT: Well, I'm going to allow some cross on it. We're not going to go into it in great depth.

MR. GOMEZ: Thank you, Your Honor. And I'll suggest that the only questioning about the particular products was in the last ten minutes. BY MR. GOMEZ:

Q So, Doctor, is it true that the data does not confirm definitely that this core principle works in the entire field of homeopathy? That has not been tested at this point?

A It has not been tested other than through the evidence
which is in the literature, in the research literature, that homeopathically prepared material can trigger hermetic-type reactions, which go in opposite directions, low doses versus high doses.

Q Okay. And we learned, I think, through Dr. Borneman and Dr. Phillips that age doesn't matter, and I think we heard that from you. Do you agree with that?

A In what context?
Q In the context of providing medication to a Hyland's consumer, a homeopathic medication.

A Well, as a geriatric psychiatrist, I would say that there are certain clinical guidelines that one tries to avoid if you have a very vulnerable patient who's at risk from a very serious medical condition that would guide your decision about what to use.

Q To be sure. And what I'm limiting my question to, Doctor, is the issue of homeopathic products like those at issue in this case. It doesn't matter how old or young the person is, the homeopathic product will have the same effect on the person; true?

A Homeopathy rarely has the exact same effect on two different people.

Q And so is it your testimony that -- and I think there was some testimony by you that $I$ found interesting about -let me find it -- homeopathic constitutional types. Do you
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A Yes.
Q And so is there a particular type of person that a homeopathic product is better suited for?

A That concept comes mainly from the treatment of chronically ill people, and it's based on, as I mentioned, the entire clinical pattern of the individual; so mental, emotional, physical symptoms and where they are, what the qualities of those symptoms are. So it's a very complex matter of matching the type.

However, there is a type in the acute world, certain remedies that certain types might be more likely to need for treatment of acute illnesses they might develop. Q Okay. Thank you for that explanation. And so within that context there is a type of person and a type of homeopathic solution or product that might be appropriate for them. Does that sound right?

A To some extent, yes.
Q Otherwise what I'm talking about is I think you told us that homeopathic products rarely work the same way on two different people; is that right?

A They are not expected to work directly with regard to a specific mechanism as is understood in conventional drugs and pharmaceutics.

Q Sure. So does age matter? That is, if I take this
bottle of Calms Forté and I feed it to my six-year-old, is it going to affect my six-year-old differently than if I eat this whole bottle of Calms Forté?

A I don't know.
Q You can't tell us the answer to that question as the medical director of this company?

A One has to evaluate the patient. What I can tell you is that there's a list of ingredients in there and that each of those ingredients is indicated for different individuals. So the concept behind that is that any given person might benefit from a particular ingredient or the product may not contain any ingredient that might be beneficial to every single person who consumes it.

Q Okay. Thank you for that explanation. So you understand that these products that your company sells to American consumers are at least advertised or represented to be appropriate for everyone that suffers from the conditions that are on the front of the box of the products?

A With the exclusions of what is on the labeling about what ages a particular product should be used in?

Q Yes.
A Yes.
Q All right. And you understand that the products at issue in this case contain a combination of a whole bunch of active ingredients that you went through for us; do you

```
recall that?
```

A Yes.
Q And so it's true, you've told us, that each of these different active ingredients by themselves can have a different effect on different people; is that true?

A Yes.
Q So you would probably question whether or not taking a whole bunch of different so-called active ingredients and combining them would be appropriate to sell to everyone in the American public; true?

A I would not question that, no. It's a different approach to using homeopathic information.

Q And is it true that size -- that is, the weight or mass of the human being -- does not matter in terms of the effect that these products will have on human beings?

A Probably does not.
Q Probably or does not as a medical director and a person who appears to have a great deal of interest in this topic, that is, the literature and understanding of homeopathy?

A I've given those types of ingredients to my dog, who's much smaller than myself and different from other people. I haven't given that exact product, but I've given ingredients.

Q So we heard from Dr. Borneman that he feeds these to his dog. You provide these to your dog as well?

A Not those specific ingredients, but I've certainly had my dogs treated by homeopathy, yes.

Q Okay. And so are they combination, diluted products that are found in places like CVS? Do you give that to your dog?

A Not myself because as someone trained in homeopathy and someone who also consults with a homeopathic veterinarian with specific background, I typically use one remedy at a time to treat my dog.

Q Before I get on to something else and we're talking about animals, what was the outcome of the study that you conducted about whether loud noises cause anxiety in animals?

A As I recall, it was a study again where I was collaborating with a senior investigator whose special area of investigation at the time was the stressful -- the biological effects of stress from noise on animals. Q And so you don't, I think, suggest to the jury that that study -- that is, the study of whether noises would cause stress in animals -- pertains to the products at issue here?

A Well, that particular study was the study of another company's combination product. It was called Traumeel, and it was put in the animal's drinking water.

Q Okay. Now, is it true that a basic principle of
homeopathy is that dose does not matter? It doesn't matter if you take one or two or three; it's just frequency?

A That's a difficult question to answer.
Q Well, do you have an answer for us?
A My answer is that if the individual is sensitive to an individual ingredient in that product, the number of pellets should not matter.

Q I'm sorry. If an individual is sensitive to an ingredient in the product, the number of pellets should not matter?

A And by sensitive, I mean if they are capable of responding therapeutically to that particular ingredient, the number of pellets you might take, assuming the medicine is on that pellet, is not as important within a certain range. There is a point at which you could give medicine and it's more by frequency of dosing than it is by amount. Q Now, have you consulted with pharmacists, formulating pharmacists like Mr. Phillips or Dr. Phillips about the directions that go on the labels for consumers to whom your company sells these products?

A I don't recall specifically discussing it with him, but I at times have had conversations with members of the company.

Q Members of the marketing company or other members of the company?

A Both.
Q And so what would marketing have to do with directions for use of a drug?

A They would be consulting with our pharmacists and with me to ask whether the instructions for using the drug were appropriate to put on the box.

Q Dr. Phillips told us that he came to an understanding of the proper dosages or number of pills to direct consumers to use in the following way, and I want to see if you agree with that approach as the medical director of this company. Okay?

A Okay.
Q He told us that after completing his training in homeopathy and upon beginning his work with the company, he went to a conference where he ran into a medical practitioner of homeopathy who said that they typically used one or two tablets. And so he came back and determined that since the tablets were smaller, then he could put on the direction use two or four or six pills, and he told us there's no difference in those numbers. Do you agree with all of that?

MR. MARGULIES: Objection. Mischaracterizes testimony.

THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: I agree that in general the number
of pellets does not matter. It is usually -- within the range of dose you're talking about, it usually depends more again on whether the individual is encountering an ingredient in homeopathic potency that speaks to their particular situation.

From a practical point of view, when I've spoken with clinicians, I've been told that if they were making something like that themselves from an existing product, they would want more than one pellet because it may not have been evenly distributed across all the pellets that were in the bottle. And so it would be very important to ensure that the individual actually received the dose. BY MR. GOMEZ:

Q Sure. So did you just tell us that there's a chance that there are different dosages of the active ingredients in each one of the tablets at issue in these products?

A Not to my knowledge. Hyland's has a very formalized manufacturing procedure which is very different from what I've just described.

I'm talking about an individual doctor who has a bottle from another doctor and has a bottle of pellets and wants to pour some of them over and shake them up and create another bottle with active treatment.

Q So is it your belief that there are the identical number of nanoparticles of active ingredients in each one of
the pellets of this product that I'm holding in my hand, Calms Forté? Each one of them has the same number of nanoparticles of active ingredient?

A Based on my research there's a certain amount of variability around the number that I quoted earlier.

Q And so generally speaking if I am sensitive to the ingredients in one of the products that your company sells, then I will have good results even taking one of these pellets; right?

A It could be, yes.
Q And what if I'm not sensitive to one of the ingredients contained in one of these pellets, is it true I will have no relief whatsoever?

A If you were not sensitive -- and again I'm not talking in an adverse way. I'm not talking allergic. I'm talking about your makeup and your current illness pattern. You may not get any relief if there was no ingredient in that formula that spoke to your particular body.

Q Okay. So it's true that the products that Standard sells will only speak to particular consumers' bodies; true? A Well, it would speak to people for whom those ingredients are relevant, are salient as I write in my professional papers.

Q Now, would you advise, then, writing on the front of this box for consumers "only appropriate for use with
certain people with bodies that are sensitive to the ingredients contained herein?"

A Not specifically, no.
Q You understand that these products are sold and representative as effective to every consumer in the American public that has these conditions; right?

A I understand that, yeah.
Q So is it true that based on what you understand about how these products are sold and marketed and your understanding as the medical director of the company that in fact these products may not in fact be appropriate for all of us?

A They may not be appropriate for every one of us as a general statement. They may -- at certain points in your health history they may be appropriate, yes.

Q And so it will even change within a single person within my health history, you know, one day; or depending on what's going on in my life, Calms Forté may help me sleep and then another day or a month later, depending on what's going on in my health history, it won't?

A Well, if you were drinking a great deal of coffee with actual caffeine in it and you wanted something to help you sleep, the chances are that the caffeine would override any effects of the product.

Q And does Calms Forté in fact contain some coffee cruda?

A It contains homeopathically prepared coffee cruda. Q Now, have you stated that it is your professional opinion that a ten-pound child would have to ingest at least six bottles of the 125 teething tablets at once before experiencing the first mild side effects of dry mouth?

A I believe we have written that statement based on the input of the pharmacists at the company.

Q We heard yesterday -- I think it was yesterday -- from Dr. Phillips that within a 190-milligram pellet, there are only approximately . 19 milligrams of active ingredients. Does that sound right to you?

A That's possible. I'd have to review the specifics.
Q Do you know?
A No, I don't know.
Q Do you believe -- would it sound about right to you that the pills that consumers pay for are 99.9 percent lactose?

A It would be my understanding that they are poured on lactose after they're made. What's important is that the signal of that particular ingredient get through to the individual body.

Q I'm going to go briefly through your theories about how these products work and then talk a little bit about the government's position, and then we'll be done, Doctor.

Is it true that one of your theories is there are
nanoparticles within these products?
A It is true that I believe the manufacturing process
generates nanoparticles.
Q So are the nanoparticles that you're referring to
glass; that is, silica, or actual active ingredients?
A Active ingredients.
Q Do the active ingredients adhere to the silica for a
mechanism of entry into the body?
A Not necessarily.
Q Do you know?
A Well, most of those products like the Calms Forté
you're holding are what in homeopathy is called low potency.
The glassware is not always involved in manufacturing them
at all.
Q And so silica would have no relevance to the products
at issue in this case?
A It depends on the specific ingredient you would have to
ask me about, and I would have to confirm at the company
whether glassware was used in making that particular
potency.
Q Is it true that you have said that nanoparticles have
properties that overlap with the known scientifically
established replicated findings about what homeopathic
remedies do, and that could be due to nanoparticles or
someone may come up with some other explanation? Does that
sound like something you would say?
A I'm not sure I said "or someone might come up with some
other explanation."
Q In the interest of time, I'll ask you another question.
Does it sound like you would say "so nanoparticles may or
may not be there?"
A It's possible. I don't know the context.
Q We heard about nano bubbles today. Is that a second
theory?

A It's not a theory and it's not a second theory. There are research papers coming out in the past year suggesting that nano bubbles are in fact interacting with the particles of the material and are contributing to the generation of the active material.

Q Are the nano bubbles interacting with nanoparticles, or are the nano bubbles interacting with bulk parts of the product?

A They may be interacting with both the bulk and the nanoparticles.

Q But you don't know?
A The data in the literature suggests that there are bubbles of all sizes that are present when one succusses something in a container for the purpose of creating a homeopathic potency.

Q A third theory is optic signals; right?

A Well, these are not all independent theories. These are things that are published by other people with experimental findings where they did studies and reported their data.

Q And what you've done is looked at those studies and tried to explain to this jury how these products could work relying upon those studies; true?

A In part.
Q How about memory of the water? Have you relied upon that theory in the past?

A No.
Q We're running out of time, so I want to finish with you. Can you go to page 1. Are you familiar with the House of Commons evidence check two on homeopathy?

A Yes. I believe it was done in the United Kingdom.
Q Yes. And so you certainly are a person that keeps up on the literature and you're aware of this document; correct?

A Yes.
Q And did you see that the House of Commons considered the input of Dr. Peter Fisher and Professor Edward Calabrese in looking at this issue?

A Yes.

Q You understand that those are both experts that we'll hear from in this case?

A That's my understanding, yes.
Q Did you see that there were aspects of the argument that like cures like that this committee was concerned about? One, it is not good scientific practice to conclude that because some substances are harmful at high doses and beneficial at low doses that all substances behave in the same way? Did you note that concern by this committee?

A You're pointing it out to me now. I was aware that there was such a report, but I wouldn't be able to quote you the specifics of what was stated there.

Q Sure. Did you see here where Dr. Fisher was asked about the specifics of the shaking, how much shaking was required? He told this committee that has not been fully investigated. Can you tell this jury how much shaking is required?

A Not specifically, no.
Q Have you been to the manufacturing facilities at issue in this case?

A Yes.
Q Did you see this where this committee concluded: In our view the systematic reviews of meta analyses conclusively demonstrate that homeopathic products perform no better than placebos?

MR. MARGULIES: Objection. This is beyond the scope and the Court's order on the motion in limine.

THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. GOMEZ:
Q You are a person that has looked at the literature and cited extensively from the literature that you've read to suggest to the jury that these products may work in this case, and I just want to explore a few government findings with you.

So we'll skip the Australian report. Do you understand that the Australian government concluded that there is no scientific evidence to support the conclusion that homeopathic products provide any effective medical relief?

A I know there is an Australian report. I don't recall reading it in detail.

Q Thank you. And this finally is what we referenced earlier, a publication by the same National Institute of Health, National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health that previously provided you funding. Do you recall that?

A Yes.
Q And you provided the results of your studies to them; true?

A Yes.
Q Do you believe that they did not give you fair consideration; that is, that they did not treat you as a
respected scientist?
A That's a difficult question to answer. They certainly treated me with respect at the time I did my studies, but I am aware that the leadership at that center changed between the time some of my grants were originally funded and the time these kind of statements were put out, that -Q Go ahead.

A -- that the individuals who took over made public statements of that type, although I was part of a group of scientists who actually went to her facility in the Washington, D.C., area, and we gave an educational workshop sponsored by the National Center for Homeopathy to update them on the state of the science in the field.

We were told that they would pursue further funding, that they would think about providing research funds for more work, and they have chosen not to do so. Q It's true, Doctor, that -- I want to just get you back to the products at issue in this case. Okay? A Yes.

Q And we have to go through that quickly, but it's in evidence. It's true that you personally as the medical director of this company do not use any of the Hyland's products at issue in this case for your own medical purposes? True?

A For my personal medical purposes I tend not to. For
friends and friends of friends, yes, I do.
Q So not tend not to. You don't. You do not use these products; is that true? That was your testimony at the time of your deposition; correct?

A At the time of my deposition, I can say if I happened to get a flu and what $I$ had in my suitcase at the time was a flu product, I would definitely use it.

Q Was that your testimony at the time of your deposition, that you don't use these products?

A It may have been. That's possible.
MR. GOMEZ: That's all I have. Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. Redirect?
MR. MARGULIES: Thank you, Your Honor.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MARGULIES:
Q Why don't you use the Hyland's products, Dr. Bell?
A As someone trained in homeopathy, I believe that you have to have the right single ingredient for the moment and that many times you have to go through sometimes a series of individual homeopathic medicines as your response to the last medicine changes.

And so I use single remedies at a time most of the time. I do use combination remedies made by other companies.

Q Do you believe that the Hyland's remedies are not

```
effective for the reasons you've just discussed about single
remedies?
A No.
Q Mr. Gomez was asking you questions about the
combination remedies, and you said that one of the products
might not be beneficial to everyone who takes it. Do you
remember that?
A Yes.
Q All right. Is that true of conventional drugs as well?
A Of course.
Q Okay. Thank you. I don't have anything further,
Dr. Bell. Thank you very much.
    THE COURT: Recross?
    MR. GOMEZ: Certainly not.
    THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
    Thank you, Dr. Bell. You may be excused.
    All right. This is the exact time when we should
adjourn, so we will resume tomorrow morning at 9:00 o'clock.
And as my clerk has reminded me to remind you, please do not
discuss the case with each other or with anyone else.
    Thank you.
                        (Jury out)
    THE COURT: Is there any need for us to resume
tomorrow at an earlier time than 9:00 o'clock?
    MR. PERSSON: I don't think on this side there's a
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need for that. I did have one issue or one question for the Court actually, which is just -- I know no one wants to hear this question on a Friday due to its implications, but do we have a hard stop at 4:00 tomorrow? because we have two witnesses from out of town and I just want to make sure we get to them.

THE COURT: Well, I don't normally have a hard stop, but our jurors may. So we may need to ask the jurors how late they can stay tomorrow if you anticipate that we would go beyond 4:00.

MR. PERSSON: Well, I think we can reorder if necessary. I think we just had an order in mind, so that's why I asked the question. I'm not saying I'd want to stay til 5:00 or anything like that, but if we had flexibility to 4:15 or something, that was more my question.

THE COURT: Well, why don't we ask the jurors if you are concerned in that regard.

MR. PERSSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. MARGULIES: And the corollary, Your Honor, is we may end with those two witnesses before the end of the day, and it would be preferable not to put somebody on in the middle, yet another expert, and have them over the weekend. But if the Court wants us to use the full day tomorrow, we will be prepared to do that.

THE COURT: When is the witness for the plaintiff
who's being taken out of order going to be appearing?
MS. NELSON: Tuesday, the $15^{\text {th }}$, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I see. So if defendants rest
tomorrow, then we would --
MR. MARGULIES: We wouldn't be resting. Monday is
Dr. Fisher and Dr. Bellavite, who comes from --
THE COURT: Oh, I see. So you're not saying you're resting tomorrow. You're saying you're just finished with these particular witnesses tomorrow?

MR. MARGULIES: We have three queued up for today and tomorrow. The question is do I need to hold the third for tomorrow in case Mr. Persson -- I'm a little more optimistic than he is. If we finish with two and it's 3:00 o'clock, should we start the third one who will be on for an hour and then have to come back on Monday, or is the Court okay with us taking off early?

THE COURT: I see. Why don't we cross that bridge when we get to it. I'm not as much concerned about ending early. No one will object to that. The problem is more that if we have to have the jurors stay later.

MR. PERSSON: And you'll see we have different interests here.

MR. MARGULIES: The only objections I've ever heard to ending early on a Friday have been from a judge, so I just wanted to make sure we didn't have that.
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THE COURT: Well, I always prefer to finish a witness if we can, and in that instance I prefer to go late if we will finish a witness, assuming the jurors will stand for it. So why don't we just play it by ear. If necessary, I'll ask my clerk to ask them tomorrow morning when they come in how late they can stay.

MR. MARGULIES: Thank you, Your Honor.
MS. NELSON: Your Honor, I think there is one issue that I'd like to raise. I've not had a chance to raise this with opposing counsel. I gave them, I guess, a trailer of it, that there was an issue I did want to talk with them about.

I believe the first witness that they intend to call tomorrow morning is Robert Van Hasalen. And so that the Court has the construct -- and again I've not discussed this with counsel, and I know the Court has a hearing at 4:00 -- Dr. Van Hasalen was only identified as a rebuttal witness as to the Taylor study and the teething tablets study.

I believe there was a motion in limine that was brought. That motion in limine was denied in the Court's order; however, the Court indicated that he serves a distinct purpose in rebutting a particular expert's testimony about a specific clinical trial. The Court finds that the testimony is not cumulative and denies the motion
as to Van Hasalen.

That issue related to the Hyland's teething tablets in 2010 and the assertion by Dr. Krosnick in his second report that this clinical trial challenges any claim that the product is effective. We have not had Dr. Krosnick testify on the teething tablet study. We did not have Dr. Lee testify as to the Taylor or the teething tablet study, nor did we have Dr. Rose testify on that.

The issue I was going to raise with defense counsel is I don't believe that Dr. Van Hasalen's testimony is now relevant since we didn't -- there's no rebuttal.

MR. MARGULIES: Your Honor, that's a little bit of a bait and switch. I mean, either those studies are in or they're not. I believe we're entitled to put in evidence. If they're going to try to get in evidence through innuendo and cross-examination of Hyland's witnesses, then we're entitled to present our expert as to the meaning of that study.

THE COURT: Is he going to be testifying tomorrow? MR. MARGULIES: Yes.

THE COURT: Well, the way in which it was presented in the motions in limine was that he was a rebuttal witness as to those two tests. To the extent that we have had testimony referring to those clinical studies, I think that Van Hasalen is still relevant.

```
The fact that the plaintiffs chose not to have certain witnesses testify as to those studies I don't think necessarily affects my ruling.
MS. NELSON: I understand what the Court is saying. The reason this issue came about was because Mr. Borneman spoke about it.
THE COURT: As I recall, I thought we also had a videotaped deposition of Dr. Taylor himself, and we also had Dr. Bell talk about her interactions with Dr. Taylor with regard to those studies.
MS. NELSON: That's correct. They raised that. The testimony came in from Mr. Borneman, who testified to the teething tablets and the Taylor study. And that was then the reason that the Court allowed the videotaped deposition of Dr. Taylor.
THE COURT: Wasn't the videotaped deposition of Dr. Taylor presented by plaintiffs?
MS. NELSON: We presented it and they objected to it, and there was back and forth on the very issue with the defendant very vigorously opposing the introduction of that videotape. And ultimately, as the Court will recall, Mr. Gomez pointed out that Mr. Borneman opened the door by speaking about the Taylor study and the teething tablet study.
THE COURT: Well, to the extent they lost their
objection to the Taylor videotape, then why is it that they shouldn't be able to put on any witness who addresses that? MS. NELSON: Well, I think the point being it's a rebuttal witness to our expert. That's, I guess, the point. THE COURT: I understand that it was presented to me in that context. But had it been presented to me that there was going to be evidence by someone about these clinical studies, they would be entitled to have a rebuttal witness as to that.

The fact that the construct that was given to me in the context of the motions in limine was the anticipated testimony of the witnesses that you chose not to ask those questions of doesn't change the fact that they are entitled to rebut evidence that comes in in the plaintiff's case, whichever witness it comes from.

MS. NELSON: Fair enough, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. GOMEZ: Your Honor, just one other thing. I'd offer the whole of Exhibit 74 into evidence. I kind of rushed through obviously the doctor's testimony to get her out of here by 4:00, but she discussed it during direct examination and \(I\) think laid a foundation for it. It's the teething tablet study that Mr. Borneman was fighting me on.

THE COURT: Exhibit 74 is an email.
MR. GOMEZ: I think 74-1 is an email. The whole
```

of 74 should be the teething tablet study.
MR. MARGULIES: I don't think there was any

``` questioning about that document, Your Honor. What she said was she heard from Dr. Jacobs about the study. She didn't look at this document, identify it, or discuss it in any way.

MR. GOMEZ: I'm sorry. We don't have the record in front of us now, so I won't burden the Court. We'll just look at the record. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So we will resume at 9:00 o'clock tomorrow.

MR. MARGULIES: Thank you.
THE COURT: The defendants had indicated they wanted to bring a motion. When were they planning to raise that?

MR. MARGULIES: I am hoping we can file it tonight.

MR. PERSSON: I believe we will be able to file it tonight, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you want to take it up tomorrow or Monday?

MR. MARGULIES: I think that will depend on the timing with the two out-of-town experts tomorrow. Let's get through the experts, and maybe that's when we can --

THE COURT: So we may be taking it up on Monday,
then.

MR. MARGULIES: Thank you.

MS. NELSON: And, Your Honor, we would
obviously -- if they're going to present it in writing, we would think it would be appropriate for us to be --

THE COURT: Well, all the more reason why we might
take it up on Monday, then.

MS. NELSON: Thank you.

MR. GOMEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
(Proceeding adjourned at 4:10 p.m.)
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& 102 / 22 \text { 102/23 103/18 103/23 104/8 }
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline 1013-1 [5] 3/13 55/6 55/9 55/16 55/21 & 4:10 [1] 118/10 & \\
\hline 1013-2 [4] 3/13 55/10 55/17 55/21 & 4:15[1] 111/15 & 105/9 106/4 106/12 108/15 110/1 110/4 \\
\hline  & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{112/18 113/12 113/24 115/5 115/6 115/9 115/23 116/7 117/3 117/4} \\
\hline 1016-2 [2] 56/8 56/13 & & \\
\hline 1017-1 [1] 56/21 & 50 [1] 9/8 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{above [1] 119/10} \\
\hline 1017-2 [1] 56/21 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{50 percent [1] 81/23} & \\
\hline 1018 [2] 57/24 58/8 & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \text { absorption }[1] 5 / 6 \\
& \text { abstract } 4] 9 / 447 / 147 / 547 / 7 \\
& \text { abstran }
\end{aligned}\right.
\]} \\
\hline 1018-1 [2] 57/25 58/1 & 50.6 [1] 38/9 & \\
\hline 1019 [1] 57/9 & 512 [1] 38/23 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { abstracts [1] } & 46 / 22 \\ \text { academic [1] } & 9 / 23\end{array}\)} \\
\hline 1019-2 [1] 57/15 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
\(55[1] 3 / 13\) \\
\(555[1]\) \\
\hline \(1 / 21\)
\end{tabular}} & \\
\hline 1033 [1] 12/13 & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{accepted [2] 5/21 22/10} \\
\hline 109 [1] 3/5 & 57.5 [1] 38/9 & \\
\hline 11 [4] 79/21 80/10 80/25 119/14 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{价 \(\begin{aligned} & 59[1] 3 / 5 \\ & 591[1] 16 / 21\end{aligned}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \\
\hline 12 [3] 53/10 79/21 80/11 & & \\
\hline 125 [1] 102/4 & 591 milliliters [1] 16/19 & according [2] 52/11 54/23
account [1] 39/5 \\
\hline 13-CV-5102-DMG [1] 1/9 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 5920[2] 1 / 22119 / 17 \\
& 5: 00[1] 111 / 14 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{accurate [1] 77/7} \\
\hline 145 [1] 12/14 & & \\
\hline 146[1] \(12 / 14\) & 6 & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{```
across [3] 10/2 10/16 99/10
action [1] 13/3
active [28] 27/12 51/6 51/12 51/14 52/4
```} \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \\
\hline 15 percent [1] \(39 / 14\) & & \\
\hline 16 [3] 44/16 44/21 44/24 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 6-2[1] \quad 54 / 17 \\
& 619[1] \\
& 2 / 5
\end{aligned}
\] & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{57/3 57/6 57/17 57/21 94/25 95/4 95/8 99/15 99/23 99/25 100/3 102/10 103/5} \\
\hline 1700 [1] 2/11 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { 619-237-3490 [1] } & 2 / 12 \\ 619-564-6665[1] ~ & 2 / 16\end{array}\)} & \\
\hline 181 [1] 36/2 & & 103/6 103/7 104/14 \\
\hline 186 [3] 44/16 44/21 44/24 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{619-696-9066 [1] \(2 / 16\)
62.7 percent [1] 38/21} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
activities [1] 81/25 \\
actual [9] 13/11 15/4 50/22 61/6 64/5
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline 190-milligram [1] 102/9 & & \\
\hline 1900s [1] 49/10 & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 62.7 \text { percent [1] } 3 \\
& 622-6469[1] 2 / 9
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 79 / 782 / 6101 / 22103 / 5 \\
& \text { actually }[33] \\
& 4 / 25 \\
& 6 / 16 / 176 / 22 \\
& 7 / 5 \\
& 9 / 5
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline 191 [1] 40/19 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6469 [1] 2/9 \(61 / 2 / 4\)} & \\
\hline 1:15[1] 4/2 & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
19/10 26/2 39/12 39/15 40/15 41/10 \\
42/2 60/8 62/5 62/14 62/25 63/3 63/7
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline 2 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{655 [1] 2/11 6665 [1] 2/16 696-9006 [1] 2/5} & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{```
20 [3] 9/8 9/10 22/6
200 [1] 12/21
2000 [2] 72/12 72/14
2006 [10] 59/22 59/25 60/4 61/4 65/21
77/3 77/4 77/7 77/11 78/2
```} & & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{73/9 79/22 83/7 88/25 99/12 108/10 111/2 acute [7] 29/21 29/22 29/23 29/25 48/24 93/11 93/13 adapting [1] 17/15} \\
\hline & 7 & \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{llll}
707 & [1] \(2 / 7\) \\
74 & [3] & \(116 / 19\) & \(116 / 24\) \\
\(117 / 1\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{Ca}
basic [4] 24/21 90/7 90/22 96/25
basically [5] 24/1 34/19 38/18 46/19 86/1
basis [2] 73/23 73/25
be [123]
became [7] 23/13 23/15 35/6 65/23 67/10 77/23 83/11
because [21] 5/19 7/8 8/9 10/9 10/15
15/2 17/17 20/1 21/18 23/8 23/17 80/2 86/6 87/4 87/8 87/19 96/6 99/9 106/5 111/4 115/5
become [2] 73/24 78/1
been [49] 7/1 7/22 9/23 10/23 12/10 12/15 12/21 13/5 13/17 14/1 14/3 14/3 14/12 18/14 19/14 23/7 28/22 28/24 28/24 41/5 48/21 48/23 58/8 59/22 60/2 60/8 60/11 62/3 63/4 64/9 70/8 70/25 77/3 77/15 77/15 78/5 78/8 86/6 90/13 91/1 91/23 91/25 99/7 99/10 106/13 106/17 109/10 112/24 116/6
before [16] 4/12 7/9 19/5 21/17 25/11 43/23 45/24 47/4 65/23 69/8 70/6 71/13 77/23 96/10 102/4 111/20
began [2] 76/25 83/2
begin [1] 90/7
beginning [4] 25/10 60/14 89/6 98/14
begins [1] 79/22
behalf [1] \(1 / 6\)
behave [1] 106/6
behavior [1] 50/1
behind [1] 94/10
being [15] 4/20 8/14 30/16 34/2 60/5
68/22 72/9 78/17 80/15 84/16 87/17
89/9 95/14 112/1 116/3
beings [5] 50/22 62/24 63/1 72/15 95/15 belief [1] 99/24
believe [57] 8/4 13/9 15/14 18/7 19/1 21/12 26/7 27/23 28/3 30/4 32/4 32/18 33/10 33/16 39/22 39/24 40/24 41/4 42/20 45/12 46/11 46/14 47/3 49/9 49/14 60/2 63/11 63/16 64/13 64/16 64/19 64/24 68/19 69/15 74/11 75/7 77/10 77/25 83/20 85/11 86/2 86/9 89/4 91/8 91/12 102/6 102/15 103/2 105/15 107/24 109/17 109/25 113/13 113/20 114/10 114/14 117/18
believing [1] 29/16
Bell [17] 3/5 4/10 16/9 19/21 26/15
37/13 46/10 51/9 55/6 58/21 59/12
59/20 91/5 109/16 110/12 110/16 115/9 Bell's [1] 44/16
Bellavite [1] 112/6
beneficial [3] 94/12 106/6 110/6
benefit [1] 94/11
benefits [1] 68/6
best [7] 28/23 44/9 64/19 67/2 67/18 86/15 87/1
better [8] 41/18 41/20 61/5 67/5 77/7 87/5 93/4 106/23
between [10] 12/23 14/7 19/17 28/11 29/15 40/4 43/16 47/11 83/18 108/4 beyond [8] 24/19 42/23 61/21 70/12 90/15 91/12 106/24 111/10
bias [6] 86/24 87/11 87/1 87/2 87/8 87/10 biased [1] 18/18
biases [1] 84/10
biggest [1] 29/12
billion [1] 16/17
biological [3] 17/20 18/8 96/17
biology [5] 12/6 17/18 17/21 19/20 86/11
biostatistician [4] 44/1 44/12 44/14
t5d bit [11] 10/6.1499/25/18 29/21 48/5
53/16 61/3 68/7 82/15 102/23 114/12 blow [1] 58/25
board [3] 60/6 71/20 71/23
bodies [2] 100/20 101/1
body [7] 7/14 7/15 15/9 50/4 100/18
102/21 103/8
bonuses [1] 85/12
book [3] 26/11 49/10 55/13
Borkey [1] 49/9
Borneman [14] 21/6 22/13 22/18 26/22
27/9 36/25 83/9 83/10 92/5 95/24 115/6 115/12 115/22 116/23
both [12] 13/23 14/1 21/14 24/11 34/16 39/6 48/12 78/9 81/20 98/1 104/18 105/24
bottle [7] 16/18 94/1 94/3 99/11 99/21 99/21 99/23
bottles [1] 102/4
bottom [1] 41/20
Boulevard [1] 2/7
box [4] 52/16 94/18 98/6 100/25
break [4] 4/12 32/12 58/6 58/12
bridge [1] 112/17
brief [1] 87/3
briefly [1] 102/22
bring [3] 64/2 64/4 117/14
broad [3] 30/23 86/19 89/4
Broadway [1] 2/11
Brooks [6] 43/10 43/11 43/24 44/1 44/11 45/9
brought [2] 64/1 113/21
bubble [1] 77/8
bubbles [5] 104/8 104/12 104/15 104/16
104/22
budget [4] 63/21 63/25 64/12 65/2
building [1] 85/19
bulk [3] 13/13 104/16 104/18
bunch [2] 94/24 95/8
burden [1] 117/8
business [1] 84/17
buying [1] 84/25

\section*{C}

CA [2] 2/12 2/15
caffeine [2] 101/22 101/23
Calabrese [3] 14/5 17/17 105/21
calculate [1] 39/15
calculated [1] 35/18
calculation [8] 35/25 36/20 37/4 38/24
39/1 39/8 39/10 40/2
calculations [4] 37/14 39/2 40/25 46/19
CALIFORNIA [8] 1/2 1/10 1/17 1/23 2/4
2/8 2/22 4/1
call [2] 33/21 113/14
called [16] 7/23 8/21 12/8 13/6 13/19
15/20 35/14 40/11 41/19 47/8 49/3
49/24 50/20 95/8 96/23 103/12
calls [2] 24/14 37/9
Calms [8] 51/11 61/1 94/1 94/3 100/2
101/18 101/25 103/11
came [11] 23/24 26/9 29/7 36/25 60/6
69/18 77/8 98/7 98/17 115/5 115/12
can [53] 4/13 8/16 9/2 9/4 11/1 11/14
12/25 14/1 15/10 15/22 17/7 17/14
17/17 17/20 20/3 25/17 26/1 26/2 29/9
29/23 30/23 32/12 40/5 40/8 40/19 45/6
49/6 50/23 62/7 62/11 63/1 63/5 63/5
64/6 67/24 68/9 68/12 69/22 71/3 87/1
87/10 92/2 94/7 95/4 105/13 106/14

109/5 111/9 111/11 113/2 113/6 117/16
partet 122 of 1937/14 Page b9/25
86/19 94/5
Canada [1] 86/9
cancer [3] 12/4 20/19 86/11
cannot [1] 88/21
capable [3] 13/15 14/14 97/11
capacities [1] 78/9
capacity [3] 60/12 66/7 71/24
care [4] 34/16 44/22 73/2 73/4
career [4] 79/12 79/17 81/1 81/21
case [31] 5/11 24/25 48/6 48/7 48/10
48/14 50/24 60/21 69/13 69/14 70/9
73/23 76/6 78/23 79/7 79/16 84/6 86/15
90/4 90/23 92/18 94/24 103/16 105/25
106/18 107/6 108/18 108/23 110/20
112/12 116/14
cases [1] 78/14
category [1] 89/4
causally [1] 24/16
cause [5] 16/24 17/7 29/19 96/12 96/20
causing [2] 14/14 17/11
CCRR [1] 1/22
ceiling [1] \(41 / 19\)
cells [2] 15/4 19/10
center [6] 6/23 81/13 82/6 107/17 108/4
108/12
CENTRAL [1] \(1 / 2\)
certain [15] 12/3 24/13 25/22 39/13
69/10 81/7 82/18 92/12 93/12 93/12
97/14 100/4 101/1 101/14 115/2
certainly [13] 19/8 48/20 66/2 68/3 69/5
71/10 73/8 76/5 87/13 96/1 105/16
108/2 110/14
certainty [1] 58/3
CERTIFICATE [1] 119/5
certification [1] 71/22
certified [2] 71/20 73/4
certify [1] 119/7
challenges [1] 114/4
chamomile [2] 50/25 51/1
chance [2] 99/14 113/9
chances [1] 101/23
change [5] 22/22 83/13 83/15 101/16 116/13
changed [3] 22/2 22/23 108/4
changes [11] 6/9 6/13 17/21 34/7 79/11
79/12 79/17 79/18 81/1 81/2 109/21
characterize [2] 11/16 20/3
characterized [1] 19/15
check [1] 105/14
child [1] 102/3
child's [1] 34/21
children [9] 25/15 29/13 30/5 32/9 32/15
32/23 36/10 41/13 42/11
choice [1] 62/17
chose [3] 12/8 115/1 116/12
chosen [2] 35/21 108/16
chronic [1] 48/25
chronically [1] 93/6
CHRONOLOGICAL [1] 3/2
circled [1] 12/14
cite [1] 63/1
cited [1] 107/4
citing [1] 44/15
claim [3] 70/9 71/12 114/4
Clark [1] 49/14
clear [4] 14/13 28/10 41/12 58/8
clerk [3] 44/22 110/19 113/5
clinical [29] 15/5 25/5 28/10 34/16 37/13
46/11 48/22 49/2 49/20 50/6 60/15 62/4 62/12 62/23 63/21 64/5 64/14 64/17
concentration [1] 15/25

 112/18
concerns [1] 31/21
conclude [1] 106/4
concluded [2] 106/20 107/9
conclusion [5] 19/6 19/22 33/13 64/7
107/10
conclusions [5] 29/1 30/6 40/4 40/7
82/18
conclusive [2] 46/12 46/14
conclusively [3] 47/21 47/25 106/22
concomitant [2] 41/14 42/9
condition [2] 30/1 92/14
conditions [5] 50/16 72/2 74/7 94/17 101/6
conduct [5] 63/21 64/13 64/16 64/20
66/12
conducted [6] 27/16 29/8 29/11 61/4
64/6 96/12
conference [8] 21/9 33/21 47/1 47/9
47/10 47/13 98/15 119/12
conferring [1] 55/4
confirm [5] 5/22 90/12 90/24 91/21
103/18
confirmed [1] 4/24
conflict [5] 87/17 87/24 88/19 89/6 89/15
conflict-of-interest [3] 87/17 88/19 89/15
conformance [1] 119/11
confusion [1] 58/17
congestion [1] 38/8
connected [1] 88/24
consider [2] 6/16 49/12
consideration [1] 107/25
considerations [2] 35/10 49/8
considered [7] 30/9 30/21 70/18 70/20
82/17 88/8 105/20
considering [2] 42/22 87/15
consist [1] 8/18
consisted [1] 30/12
consistent [2] 14/17 30/16
Constantine [1] 49/13
constitutional [1] 92/25
construct [2] 113/15 116/10
consult [6] 22/9 30/2 50/5 50/10 68/16 86/7
consultant [12] 43/9 43/19 44/9 63/24
73/6 77/21 77/22 77/23 78/5 78/8 83/21
86/5
consulted [3] 50/7 69/12 97/17
consulting [5] 21/13 21/20 48/10 79/4
98/4
consults [1] 96/7
consumer [4] 23/25 84/20 92/10 101/5
consumers [15] 8/22 23/24 62/5 62/15
62/25 63/8 63/23 68/6 75/1 75/8 94/16
97/19 98/8 100/25 102/16
consumers' [1] 100/20
consumersadvocates.com [1] 2/17
consumes [1] 94/13
contact [2] 7/2 7/4
contacted [2] 30/13 45/25
contain [4] 42/13 94/12 94/24 101/25
contained [3] 71/13 100/12 101/2
container [1] 104/23
contains [2] 69/23 102/1
context [11] 32/11 73/2 79/24 80/14
91/11 92/8 92/9 93/15 104/7 116/6
116/11
continue [1] 72/4
continued [3] 3/5 12/19 84/17
continuously [1] 78/5
9ntatactor \(233 / 437\)
Page ID
contributing [1] 104/13
control [12] 5/7 27/1 27/5 31/24 33/13
36/9 39/9 41/23 42/3 47/18 47/20 87/1
controlled [2] 26/6 32/17
controlling [1] 41/15
controversy [1] 4/20
conventional [9] 14/2 66/20 72/8 73/18
74/17 75/14 75/16 93/23 110/9
conversations [3] 71/12 71/15 97/22
copper [1] 4/25
copy [1] 44/22
core [3] 90/12 90/24 91/22
corollary [1] 111/19
corporation [1] 1/10
correct [25] 20/17 21/7 24/23 24/24 27/9
35/22 37/2 45/4 47/24 49/17 58/10
61/10 61/11 61/16 62/15 63/8 78/18
79/9 81/9 82/10 91/11 105/18 109/4
115/11 119/9
correctly [1] 28/22
correlations [1] 19/17
cough [32] 31/12 32/7 32/16 33/18 34/8
36/10 38/9 46/12 46/13 47/22 56/12
56/22 58/1 58/2 58/23 60/23 60/23
60/25 61/13 62/9 67/2 68/13 69/3 69/14
69/20 69/23 69/23 70/3 70/11 70/24
71/1 71/13
could [29] 6/6 8/11 12/7 13/16 15/18
16/22 16/24 20/21 24/4 25/21 28/23
36/16 41/21 58/19 58/25 60/18 72/18
72/24 74/18 87/12 88/7 88/7 89/16
90/21 97/15 98/18 100/10 103/24 105/6
couldn't [1] 13/7
counsel [6] 44/17 55/4 79/20 113/10
113/16 114/10
count [1] 20/10
counter [1] 32/22
countries [1] 11/4
couple [1] 86/16
course [5] 42/12 48/10 69/6 77/8 110/10
court [19] 1/1 58/6 74/21 78/14 79/7
79/20 91/13 111/2 111/23 112/16
113/15 113/16 113/22 113/24 115/4
115/14 115/21 117/8 119/17
Court's [3] 91/3 106/25 113/21
Courthouse [1] 1/22
covered [1] 81/22
cramps [6] 53/23 54/7 54/15 60/24
60/24 67/14
create [2] 10/5 99/22
creating [1] 104/23
cross [7] 3/4 59/15 59/18 91/6 91/15
112/17 114/16
cross-examination [3] 59/15 59/18
114/16
cruda [2] 101/25 102/1
CSR [2] 1/22 119/17
cumulative [1] 113/25
cures [4] 16/25 90/8 90/23 106/3
curiosity [1] 20/1
current [4] 22/15 76/16 87/16 100/16
currently [1] 22/5
CV [4] 1/9 20/10 20/11 77/11
CVS [1] 96/4

\section*{D}
D.C [1] 108/11
dangerous [1] 17/13
data [20] 18/21 32/11 38/23 39/22 39/24
42/7 42/8 42/13 43/5 46/16 61/16 62/5
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline  & \begin{tabular}{l}
7/19 10/2 10/6 \(15 / 1616 / 1617 / 9\) 21/11 \\
 29/18 32/1芶:39\%6 \(5369533 / 1633 / 17\) 33/20 34/9 35/1 35/24 37/4 37/7 38/1 38/22 39/15 39/19 39/22 41/2 41/4 42/13 42/14 42/15 42/18 42/23 42/25 43/1 43/4 43/4 43/8 43/14 43/24 44/3 44/5 45/17 45/20 45/22 45/24 46/25 47/4 47/7 50/5 50/10 59/3 61/15 64/25 68/16 71/9 72/17 72/17 74/8 76/5 76/7 79/3 83/13 83/15 83/20 84/1 85/7 89/13 89/17 99/14 105/3 105/20 106/2 106/7 106/11 106/20 107/24 107/25 108/3 111/1 113/11 114/6 114/8 didn't [10] 10/15 15/2 15/3 22/18 41/10 46/19 91/4 112/25 114/11 117/4 Diego [3] 2/4 2/12 2/15 difference [3] 28/9 38/23 98/20 differences [1] 27/4 different[25] 5/1 6/1 6/18 8/19 10/7 12/2 21/2 31/7 40/4 41/24 67/15 67/19 86/10 92/22 93/21 94/9 95/4 95/5 95/5 95/8 95/11 95/21 99/15 99/18 112/21 differently [1] 94/2 \\
difficult [2] 97/3 108/2 difficulties [1] 29/5 difficulty [1] 53/16 diluted [2] 50/18 96/3 dilutions [1] 6/3 \\
Dimetapp [7] 32/22 32/24 33/1 33/7 33/11 34/6 38/11 \\
DIRE [1] 3/4 \\
direct [8] 3/4 4/8 79/20 90/16 91/8 91/13 98/8 116/21 \\
direction [5] 13/25 13/25 14/15 17/6 98/19 \\
directions [4] 46/7 92/3 97/19 98/2 directly [3] 19/4 50/9 93/22 \\
director [12] 60/8 66/10 75/5 75/7 78/6 81/24 83/11 94/6 95/17 98/10 101/10 108/22 \\
disagree [1] 89/17 \\
disappointing [1] 82/23 discover [1] 9/4 \\
discovered [4] 7/22 10/10 11/5 17/9 \\
discuss [4] 43/24 90/7 110/20 117/5 discussed [15] 24/20 27/2 29/10 31/1 31/7 34/2 42/20 43/19 61/12 63/3 67/12 91/13 110/1 113/15 116/21 \\
discussing [6] 26/25 27/6 37/8 48/21 65/17 97/21 \\
discussion [7] 30/15 30/17 42/15 61/7 61/13 79/23 87/3 \\
discussions [8] 31/4 31/18 33/21 33/24 35/2 42/18 67/13 67/15 \\
disease [1] 20/18 \\
displayed [1] 58/19 \\
distinct [1] 113/23 \\
distributed [1] 99/10 \\
DISTRICT [3] \(1 / 1\) 1/2 \(1 / 22\) \\
DIVISION [1] 1/3 \\
DIXON [1] 2/11 \\
DMG [1] \(1 / 9\) \\
do [164] \\
doctor [22] 60/21 61/18 62/20 63/11 66/25 67/23 68/3 68/12 69/2 69/6 73/4 78/13 79/11 79/16 82/2 90/6 91/21 92/17 99/20 99/21 102/24 108/17 \\
doctor's [1] 116/20 \\
Doctor, [1] 75/13 \\
Doctor, you [1] 75/13 \\
doctorate [1] 76/19
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
document [4] 40/21 105/17 117/3 117/5 \\
 \\
44/22 48/18 49/2 60/5 61/25 74/5 77/4 77/7 81/4 90/12 90/24 91/21 93/17 93/25 95/14 95/16 95/17 97/1 99/1 doesn't [5] 40/1 92/6 92/18 97/1 116/13 dog [5] 95/20 95/25 95/25 96/5 96/9 dogs [1] 96/2 \\
doing [31] 6/16 8/6 8/8 8/17 8/19 10/7 13/7 18/12 18/18 18/22 19/25 22/12 22/14 24/11 25/14 25/19 30/9 30/21 34/4 34/16 34/21 37/8 40/1 41/11 65/17 72/14 74/10 75/21 77/9 78/22 83/1 DOLLY [1] 1/4 \\
don't [46] 21/23 24/7 26/7 30/18 32/18 40/2 42/25 47/6 59/13 60/2 60/3 65/1 68/19 71/9 71/15 71/16 74/10 75/3 76/12 76/15 76/17 80/2 80/3 80/6 85/10 91/6 94/4 96/18 97/21 102/14 104/7 104/20 107/13 109/2 109/9 109/16 110/11 110/25 111/7 111/16 112/17 113/4 114/10 115/2 117/2 117/7 done [43] 7/24 9/21 10/17 10/24 11/10 11/10 12/9 12/17 17/17 19/5 19/13 20/4 24/19 24/25 25/5 25/11 25/18 25/21 26/6 27/2 30/4 32/10 32/21 37/13 37/16 37/17 40/15 42/6 43/21 43/22 60/1 65/11 67/13 72/11 73/18 73/21 77/14 78/21 79/5 82/18 102/24 105/5 105/15 door [1] 115/22 dosage [1] 73/16 dosages [3] 69/8 98/8 99/15 dose [9] 14/14 17/5 17/6 17/11 34/7 35/21 97/1 99/2 99/12 doses [15] 13/24 13/25 14/15 14/16 29/20 38/10 38/16 38/21 38/24 39/25 73/22 92/3 92/4 106/5 106/6 dosing [1] 97/16 down [1] 32/12 \\
Doyle [2] 45/12 45/13 \\
Dr [6] 3/5 51/9 59/12 91/5 109/16 117/4 Dr. [92] 4/10 5/11 14/5 14/20 16/9 17/17 18/11 18/17 19/21 21/6 22/13 22/18 23/9 23/10 23/17 25/8 26/5 26/15 26/23 27/2 27/9 27/23 28/20 31/12 31/18 31/18 32/9 33/17 33/22 33/22 33/24 34/5 34/9 34/15 34/22 34/24 35/5 35/24 36/12 36/25 37/8 37/13 38/2 39/15 40/24 42/15 42/18 43/11 43/16 43/24 44/1 44/5 44/7 44/11 44/16 45/9 45/12 45/13 46/3 46/8 46/10 46/18 47/8 47/17 47/17 55/6 58/21 59/20 77/9 92/5 92/6 95/24 97/18 98/7 102/9 105/21 106/11 110/12 110/16 112/6 112/6 113/17 114/3 114/5 114/7 114/8 114/10 115/8 115/9 115/9 115/15 115/17 \\
Dr. Bell [12] 4/10 16/9 19/21 26/15 37/13 46/10 55/6 58/21 59/20 110/12 110/16 115/9 \\
Dr. Bell's [1] 44/16 \\
Dr. Bellavite [1] 112/6 \\
Dr. Borneman [7] 21/6 22/13 22/18 27/9 36/25 92/5 95/24 \\
Dr. Brooks [5] 43/11 43/24 44/1 44/11 45/9 \\
Dr. Calabrese [2] 14/5 17/17 \\
Dr. Doyle [1] 45/13 \\
Dr. Fisher [2] 106/11 112/6 \\
Dr. Jacobs [14] 23/17 25/8 26/5 26/23 27/2 27/23 28/20 31/18 33/22 33/24
\(34 / 9\) 34/15 47/8 47/17
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
emotional [1] 93/8


employer [1] 63/12
enable [1] 10/12
encountering [1] 99/3
encouraged [1] 30/2
end [7] 30/7 34/2 34/9 35/25 36/23
111/20 111/20
ending [2] 112/18 112/24
endowed [1] 86/13
enlarged [1] 36/17
enough [5] 14/21 40/9 46/17 46/19 116/16
enroll [2] 26/3 39/12
ensure [2] 68/5 99/11
entire [7] 37/1 80/1 80/15 90/13 90/25 91/22 93/7
entirely [1] 47/15
entitled [5] 114/14 114/17 116/8 116/13
119/10
entry [1] 103/8
error [2] 40/11 40/12
especially [1] 42/2
ESQ [5] 2/3 2/10 2/14 2/19 2/20
essentially [1] 15/19
established [1] 103/23
estimate [5] 16/2 38/15 39/3 39/5 64/19
estimated [2] 39/8 83/18
et [1] 1/6
ethanol [2] 10/9 10/10
ethical [4] 74/11 74/25 75/3 75/7
evaluate [4] 5/22 28/19 33/15 94/7
evaluated [1] 34/3
evaluating [2] 23/23 26/8
evaluation [1] 74/23
even [10] 15/7 15/17 31/21 41/10 65/24
74/18 80/2 88/5 100/8 101/16
evenly [1] 99/10
event [3] 23/23 23/25 24/17
events [2] 24/3 24/9
ever [5] 23/13 27/18 74/3 74/8 112/23
every [3] 94/12 101/5 101/13
everybody [1] 42/3
everyone [3] 94/17 95/9 110/6
evidence [13] 55/8 55/17 82/17 82/21
91/25 105/14 107/10 108/21 114/14
114/15 116/7 116/14 116/19
evolved [2] 23/22 31/17
exact [6] 69/23 70/10 70/25 92/21 95/22
110/17
exactly [1] 60/3
exam [1] 90/16
examination [6] 4/8 59/15 59/18 109/14 114/16 116/22
example [5] 7/12 9/15 50/25 67/2 68/12
examples [2] 29/9 50/23
exclude [1] 88/15
excluded [1] 91/14
exclusions [1] 94/19
Excuse [1] 68/18
excused [1] 110/16
exhibit [20] 12/13 26/12 26/13 26/17
36/2 40/19 51/5 51/25 53/15 54/7 54/13
54/17 57/9 57/24 58/8 58/17 58/19
68/19 116/19 116/24
Exhibits [3] 3/11 3/12 55/21
existing [2] 11/20 99/8
expanded [1] 10/23
expect [1] 29/22
expected [2] 29/24 93/22
experience [4] 34/15 34/17 49/21 70/20
|experiencing [1] 102/5

expert [6] 11/13 75/13 91/4 111/22
114/17 116/4
expert's [1] 113/23
expertise [2] 43/4 68/4
experts [5] 12/1 73/6 105/24 117/23
117/24
explain [3] 17/14 29/23 105/6
explanation [5] 73/8 93/14 94/14 103/25
104/3
explore [1] 107/6
expressed [1] 82/21
extensive [2] 48/22 62/18
extensively [2] 7/11 107/4
extent [7] 19/10 30/22 65/14 69/10
93/18 114/23 115/25
external [3] 22/25 23/5 66/6
extra [1] 25/2
extract [1] 50/19
extremely [1] 29/17
eves [1] 50/1
F
facilities [1] 106/17
facility [1] 108/10
fact [12] 28/23 48/15 51/21 69/22 72/21
101/11 101/11 101/25 104/12 115/1
116/10 116/13
factors [2] 42/22 67/21
facts [8] 52/1 52/4 53/4 54/18 55/24
56/13 57/1 57/15
faculty [1] 88/8
fails [1] \(41 / 25\)
fair [6] 62/6 65/5 75/18 78/11 107/24
116/16
fairly [1] 9/22
fall [1] 63/19
false [2] 40/16 40/17
familiar [12] 4/16 13/19 31/11 37/13
38/25 48/7 51/14 54/1 56/24 61/23
62/21 105/13
familiarity [1] 38/4
far [4] 20/21 36/24 66/1 86/18
fascinated [1] 8/9
favor [3] 28/12 28/12 28/14
Fax [1] 2/16
FDA [5] 24/1 24/8 24/10 24/11 29/22
feasibility [1] 25/14
federal [2] 24/1 87/18
feed [1] 94/1
feeds [1] 95/24
feeling [1] 65/3
fees [1] 79/4
fellows [1] 76/19
felt [3] 34/18 44/8 87/5
few [5] 9/4 11/7 16/19 29/20 107/6
field [13] 4/15 7/9 7/20 20/1 22/16 48/23
65/10 66/23 74/24 90/13 90/25 91/23
108/13
fighting [1] 116/23
figure [1] 6/17
file [2] 117/16 117/18
filed [1] 79/7
finally [2] 57/24 107/15
financial [9] 79/12 79/17 81/2 84/16
87/14 88/21 89/9 89/17 89/20
find [5] 9/8 9/20 47/7 88/8 92/25
finding [4] 9/12 19/16 22/24 42/5
findings [9] 13/16 19/18 22/16 25/9
40/24 43/18 103/23 105/3 107/6
finds [1] 113/24

finish [4] 105/12 112/13 113/1 113/3
finished [2] 25/8 112/8
finishing [1] 21/24
first [23] 4/22 7/4 11/24 15/14 22/24
23/2 23/8 25/7 27/11 29/13 31/23 36/16
38/5 49/14 60/6 60/15 65/20 70/3 78/1
80/4 83/2 102/5 113/13
Fisher [3] 105/21 106/11 112/6
fit [1] 5/3
five [2] \(11 / 236 / 10\)
flexibility [1] 111/14
Floor [1] 2/22
Flower [1] 2/21
flu [3] 17/24 109/6 109/7
focus [1] 50/21
folks [1] 27/1
follow [8] 4/18 18/23 24/13 26/9 26/24
30/9 30/12 30/21
follow-up [6] 4/18 26/9 26/24 30/9 30/12 30/21
following [1] 98/9
follows [1] 18/21
forced [3] 79/12 79/17 81/1
foregoing [1] 119/8
form [6] 6/5 7/22 13/12 13/12 67/3 74/25
formalized [1] 99/17
format [1] 119/11
forms [2] 8/1 50/14
formula [2] 59/1 100/18
formulas [1] 69/15
formulating [1] 97/17
formulation [2] 69/7 70/10
forth [2] 73/16 115/19
forthcoming [1] 19/12
Forté [8] 51/11 61/1 94/1 94/3 100/2
101/18 101/25 103/11
forward [1] 31/5
found [7] 33/8 33/10 38/7 82/22 87/2
92/24 96/4
foundation [22] \(11 / 15\) 12/2 \(16 / 5\) 17/2
17/25 37/10 63/13 64/21 65/7 66/13
71/2 75/9 77/16 79/6 81/20 85/1 85/22
85/24 86/2 86/9 86/15 116/22
foundations [1] 86/12
founder [1] 7/22
four [3] 39/25 81/12 98/19
FRAENKEL [1] 2/6
freestanding [1] 80/20
frequency [3] 73/16 97/2 97/16
frequently [1] 87/2
Friday [2] 111/3 112/24
friends [3] 109/1 109/1 109/1
front [6] 26/12 36/4 52/16 94/18 100/24 117/8
FULBRIGHT [1] 2/19
full [3] 22/7 36/17 111/23
full-time [1] 22/7
fully [1] 106/13
function [1] \(8 / 24\)
fund [1] 36/24
fundamental [1] 25/25
funded [7] 62/12 63/4 65/22 81/12 86/8 86/16 108/5
funding [8] 36/22 81/8 81/9 81/16 82/9
85/14 107/18 108/15
funds [4] 64/13 86/12 87/25 108/16
further [9] 5/21 6/16 25/14 41/20 43/12
59/14 65/24 108/14 110/11
future [2] 17/13 83/7
guide [1] 92/14
grigelinestas 24/13392/13age-ID
had [70] 4/14 5/4 6/8 7/1 7/8 7/22 8/7
8/20 10/17 11/7 12/6 20/19 21/17 21/18
21/18 23/17 23/18 25/4 25/8 26/5 28/14
28/22 28/24 28/24 29/6 29/6 32/9 32/9
32/9 32/10 32/11 32/21 38/3 47/8 47/9
51/2 55/7 61/9 64/24 65/3 67/13 70/10
70/25 71/12 71/15 72/24 76/24 77/4
77/22 78/1 80/8 81/11 82/1 85/21 87/14
88/10 89/8 89/17 96/1 97/22 109/6
111/12 111/14 113/9 114/5 114/24
115/7 115/8 116/6 117/13
hadn't [2] 44/7 44/7
half [2] 22/7 34/20
hand [1] 100/1
hands [1] 47/15
happened [3] 67/9 83/13 109/5
happy [1] 30/24
hard [2] 111/4 111/7
harder [1] 10/6
harmful [1] 106/5
has [37] 10/22 11/1 12/9 13/5 13/22
19/13 22/2 22/23 30/12 58/8 62/12 63/4
64/6 66/7 74/14 77/14 82/18 86/24
87/23 88/18 88/21 90/13 90/25 91/23
91/25 92/21 94/7 99/17 99/20 99/21
100/2 101/6 106/13 107/3 110/19
113/15 113/16
Hasalen [4] 113/14 113/17 114/1 114/25
Hasalen's [1] 114/10
have [199]
haven't [3] 27/2 40/9 95/22
having [6] 13/15 37/13 42/14 51/2 82/17
82/23
he [54] 5/13 5/19 14/23 15/2 15/3 21/11
21/12 22/17 22/20 22/24 23/13 23/15
32/10 32/10 32/11 32/19 32/21 33/2
33/6 33/10 33/12 33/15 34/6 39/16
39/19 39/19 39/22 39/22 39/24 39/24
41/10 41/11 42/23 42/25 43/2 43/17
43/23 44/7 46/5 46/19 88/20 95/24 98/7
98/13 98/14 98/15 98/17 98/18 98/19
106/13 112/13 113/22 114/19 114/22
he's [2] 15/7 17/17
head [3] 50/1 70/1 70/1
headache [2] 52/21 60/24
heading [1] 36/19
health [10] \(82 / 382 / 1685 / 1788 / 1389 / 4\)
101/15 101/17 101/20 107/17 107/18
hear [3] 14/5 105/25 111/2
heard [17] 7/8 21/18 26/25 31/23 61/3
67/17 67/25 76/24 77/4 78/1 81/11 92/7
95/24 102/8 104/8 112/24 117/4
hearing [1] 113/16
hearsay [4] 28/1 28/4 34/12 34/13
Hecht [3] 86/8 86/15 86/16
held [1] 119/10
Hello [1] 59/21
help [8] 43/5 50/16 62/13 62/14 64/8 64/10 101/18 101/22
helps [1] 39/11
Henry [2] 49/16 49/17
her [17] 23/9 25/10 26/24 34/15 34/16
44/3 44/4 44/5 45/2 45/12 45/22 71/8
80/21 91/9 108/10 115/9 116/20
herbal [14] 50/10 50/12 50/21 51/16
52/5 53/6 54/4 54/19 55/25 56/14 57/2
57/16 59/3 62/22
herbs [2] 50/17 50/23
here [14] 37/8 62/11 63/5 64/8 69/2


86/15 86/18 94/4 101/17 102/13 102/14
 knowing [1] \#; 7 多 750 41/22 68/1 69/13 73/17 76/5 77/8 90/3 92/17 92/18 94/24 96/20 99/16 103/16 105/22 106/17 108/18 108/23 111/1 113/9 113/11 114/2 114/9 115/5 115/19 ssues [6] 4/13 29/9 29/12 31/8 82/10 83/22
it [229]
it's [69] 7/24 8/21 8/24 9/1 11/12 13/12 14/1 14/3 14/3 14/13 15/21 18/2 24/15 24/17 26/22 35/20 40/24 41/2 42/2 42/8 46/14 49/3 51/11 52/3 52/15 55/13 58/6 58/18 62/2 67/9 67/20 68/24 70/22 73/20 73/25 74/23 78/4 79/11 80/14 80/20 80/21 81/7 81/11 82/25 83/17 83/21 86/5 86/9 87/22 88/5 90/2 90/23 91/6 93/6 93/9 95/3 95/11 97/2 97/16 100/19 104/7 104/10 104/10 108/17 108/20 108/21 112/13 116/3 116/22 items [1] 87/4
its [11] 7/15 10/1 25/15 29/2 50/22 61/19 67/3 78/6 78/13 84/17 111/3 itself [2] 66/7 78/14

\section*{J}

Jacobs [16] 23/9 23/17 25/8 26/5 26/23 27/2 27/23 28/20 31/18 33/22 33/24 34/9 34/15 47/8 47/17 117/4
Jacobs' [1] 34/24
James [4] 23/10 23/10 31/12 49/13
JEFFREY [1] 2/19
Jennifer [1] 23/9
job [5] 21/20 22/22 22/23 83/3 83/13 john [5] 2/10 2/13 49/16 49/17 86/16
journals [2] 9/13 9/14
judge [3] \(1 / 4\) 1/4 112/24
judged [1] 25/15
Judicial [1] 119/12
July [1] 80/7
July 14th [1] 80/7
June [2] 41/2 47/3
June 1 [1] 41/2
jurors [5] 111/8 111/8 111/16 112/20 113/3
jury [13] 1/16 12/25 65/4 66/9 69/11
76/24 81/16 84/9 96/18 105/6 106/14 107/5 110/22
just [40] \(4 / 124 / 136 / 127 / 1410 / 712 / 15\) 14/4 16/14 19/19 25/8 25/11 27/6 35/11 50/14 58/7 60/1 67/24 70/22 72/25 74/20 84/23 85/6 89/5 90/3 90/6 90/22 97/2 99/14 99/19 107/6 108/17 110/1 111/2 111/5 111/12 112/8 112/25 113/4 116/18 117/8

K
keep [1] 20/22
keeps [1] 105/16
Kent [1] 49/14
key [1] 27/3
kids [13] 31/11 32/7 36/10 46/12 46/13 47/22 61/13 62/9 69/24 70/3 70/11
70/11 71/1
KIM [1] 1/6
kind [5] 4/13 15/22 51/3 108/6 116/19
kinds [4] 12/24 21/15 22/14 72/21
Kingdom [1] 105/15
knew [4] 11/21 12/2 21/18 43/21
know [37] 10/10 10/19 19/22 23/13 30/18 33/1 39/14 41/5 41/14 44/14 45/9 45/22 45/24 47/4 58/2 58/3 60/3 67/9 69/4 70/5 70/7 71/4 80/2 83/1 84/24
knowledge [6] 11/3 46/2 46/4 46/7 86/13 99/17
known [3] 21/17 66/16 103/22 knows [1] 71/3
Krosnick [2] 114/3 114/5
L
lab [2] 76/12 76/17
label [4] 51/19 52/7 56/1 84/24
labeled [1] 28/23
labeling [22] 51/11 52/3 53/8 53/12
53/21 53/23 54/6 54/10 54/21 54/25
55/9 56/16 56/21 57/4 57/7 57/13 57/19
57/22 58/23 59/6 59/10 94/19
labels [2] 70/1 97/19
laboratory [2] 11/10 11/12
lack [2] 37/9 66/11
Lacks [8] 63/13 64/21 65/6 66/13 71/2 75/9 77/16 85/1
lactose [2] 102/17 102/19
laid [2] 67/18 116/22
larger [6] 7/3 13/13 25/21 25/22 41/21 89/1
laser [1] 15/22
last [12] 11/2 22/3 27/4 27/4 48/5 63/21
64/7 72/7 84/1 85/7 91/19 109/21
lasts [1] 29/25
late [4] 49/10 111/9 113/2 113/6
later[3] 23/15 101/19 112/20
law [4] 2/3 2/7 2/14 2/21
laws [1] 5/14
Le [1] 71/6
leaders [1] 89/14
leadership [1] 108/4
leading [2] 37/22 37/23
learn [2] 18/24 70/8
learned [3] 7/6 47/9 92/5
learning [1] 20/22
least [8] 11/3 20/9 60/14 66/3 81/11
87/22 94/16 102/3
Lee [4] 14/20 18/11 18/17 114/7
Lee's [1] 5/11
leg [6] 53/23 54/7 54/15 60/24 60/24
67/14
legal [1] 85/12
legitimate [1] 18/7
lend [1] 78/13
lengthy [2] 78/20 79/23
less [1] 15/17
let [9] 6/18 8/15 10/14 30/19 36/21 62/2
63/11 76/23 92/25
let's [9] 11/9 32/12 36/15 51/25 51/25
54/13 55/6 56/8 117/23
level [2] 24/4 35/18
levels [2] 39/13 48/20
Library [1] 8/23
life [3] 24/5 74/19 101/18
life-threatening [2] 24/5 74/19
light [2] 5/5 15/21
light-based [1] 15/21
like [37] 7/21 8/2 10/9 11/21 11/23 16/25
16/25 17/24 19/6 26/11 48/4 67/1 67/17
67/24 68/6 68/19 73/20 76/9 78/14 90/6
90/8 90/8 90/23 90/23 91/5 91/10 92/17 96/4 97/18 99/8 103/11 104/1 104/5
106/3 106/3 111/14 113/9
likely [1] 93/12
Likewise [1] 61/12
limbs [1] 50/2

limine [6] 91/4 106/25 113/20 113/21
limiting [1] 92/16
line [3] 79/21 79/21 80/18
lines [2] 16/25 44/24
link [1] 8/9
liquid [1] 15/24
LISA [3] 1/22 119/16 119/17
list [1] 94/8
listed [19] 52/7 53/8 53/10 53/11 54/6
54/10 54/21 56/1 56/2 56/5 56/16 56/19
57/4 57/7 57/18 57/22 59/4 59/5 59/8
listener [2] 28/4 28/6
literally [2] 15/18 22/14
literature [66] 6/19 8/11 8/16 8/17 10/3
10/22 11/1 11/8 11/20 11/22 12/11 12/19 13/14 13/17 15/3 15/5 15/9 16/9
17/8 17/18 18/25 19/12 20/5 20/18
20/20 21/14 22/9 47/14 48/14 48/18
48/21 48/23 49/2 50/5 50/7 50/10 50/12 50/21 51/16 52/5 52/11 53/6 53/12 54/4
54/19 54/19 54/23 55/25 56/14 57/2
57/16 59/3 62/17 62/18 62/22 65/14
66/17 83/4 83/5 92/1 92/1 95/19 104/21
105/17 107/3 107/4
litigation [2] 78/12 84/11
little [13] 10/6 11/9 25/18 48/5 53/16
55/7 61/3 68/7 72/18 82/15 102/23
112/12 114/12
living [1] 50/22
LLC [1] 83/24
LLP [2] 2/6 2/19
located [1] 9/11
logical [1] 11/23
look [24] 6/20 8/20 9/23 11/24 12/5 12/7
17/7 19/17 34/7 43/5 44/22 51/11 51/25
52/15 54/1 66/8 68/4 77/11 82/6 82/9
87/4 88/13 117/5 117/9
looked [4] 9/15 66/7 105/5 107/3
looking [10] 12/22 22/16 34/4 34/6 50/7
55/13 55/23 62/11 70/23 105/22
looks [1] 68/19
Los [5] 1/17 1/23 2/8 2/22 4/1
loss [1] 81/8
lost [1] 115/25
lot [2] 65/11 75/17
Lotte [1] 86/16
loud [1] 96/12
low [9] 6/3 13/24 14/14 14/15 17/5 17/11 92/3 103/12 106/6

\section*{M}
M.D [1] 49/9
made [12] 10/5 11/21 20/24 22/17 22/19
33/12 33/15 33/17 71/17 102/19 108/8 109/23
main [1] 7/10
mainly [1] 93/5
mainstream [1] 83/5
majority [1] 77/14
make [12] 7/7 7/11 7/13 7/14 7/17 10/13
14/21 28/16 42/4 58/7 111/5 112/25
makes [1] 88/4
makeup [1] 100/16
making [3] 12/22 99/7 103/19
Malloy [1] 77/9
managed [1] 63/25
manipulated [1] 6/14
manipulating [1] 15/18
manufacture [1] 10/2
manufacturer [1] 24/2
manufacturers [2] 5/1 7/24

Ca 12/24 24/12 99/18 103/2 103/13 106/17 many [20] 7/24 9/1 12/3 13/14 16/2 20/8 29/5 35/12 35/13 39/14 39/22 50/13 51/1 67/20 74/18 77/22 79/11 79/16 81/1 109/19
MARGULIES [5] 2/19 4/5 58/15 80/13 80/22
Margulies' [1] 45/5
market [1] 83/5
marketed [1] 101/9
marketing [4] 63/12 70/24 97/24 98/2
MARRON [4] 2/3 2/3 2/14 2/14
mass [1] 95/13
matching [1] 93/10
Materia [7] 49/3 49/4 49/7 49/9 49/11 49/23 62/21
material [12] 4/23 5/10 13/11 15/13 16/4 16/11 49/22 50/16 50/19 92/2 104/13 104/14
materials [8] 5/19 6/21 10/18 11/6 13/2 14/2 15/8 19/9
matter [13] 13/12 44/18 92/6 92/18 93/10 93/25 95/14 97/1 97/1 97/7 97/10 99/1 119/10
may [30] 24/21 28/7 29/13 29/13 42/20 44/19 52/23 80/23 84/10 89/25 90/6 94/11 99/9 100/16 101/11 101/13 101/14 101/15 101/18 103/25 104/5 104/6 104/18 107/5 109/10 110/16 111/8 111/8 111/20 117/25
maybe [9] 10/15 21/6 25/17 67/1 67/2
81/12 90/18 90/20 117/24
me [36] 6/18 7/21 8/9 8/13 8/15 10/14
21/12 22/15 22/24 23/22 28/8 30/19
36/21 47/8 47/10 55/2 62/2 63/11 68/18 69/22 76/23 81/19 87/17 87/20 88/1 92/25 98/5 101/18 103/18 106/8 108/3 110/19 116/6 116/6 116/10 116/23
mean [13] 6/7 29/23 32/14 35/8 37/19 42/9 43/15 48/19 49/18 66/19 74/5 97/11 114/13
meaning [2] 29/2 114/17
meaningful [1] 68/5
means [1] 17/20
meant [4] 17/14 25/17 42/16 42/19
measure [2] 35/19 35/20
measured [2] 34/19 35/3
measurement [1] 13/11
measurements [1] 34/3
mechanical [2] 8/6 10/11
mechanism [3] 13/3 93/23 103/8
mechanisms [2] 17/16 18/8
mechanized [1] 8/7
Medica [7] 49/3 49/4 49/7 49/9 49/11 49/23 62/21
medical [25] 21/14 24/4 24/6 49/8 60/8
66/9 68/3 68/6 69/6 75/5 75/7 78/6 83/5 83/11 83/22 92/14 94/6 95/17 98/10 98/15 101/10 107/11 108/21 108/23 108/25
medication [19] 6/7 12/18 14/22 16/24 24/12 24/12 38/6 38/24 41/14 72/8 72/8 72/14 72/18 73/16 73/20 73/24 74/20 92/9 92/10
medications [7] 42/9 42/11 71/25 72/21 73/9 73/11 74/17
medicine [28] 4/21 8/24 11/18 11/24 12/6 24/16 49/23 49/24 50/14 63/12 63/19 66/23 70/15 70/15 73/4 73/7 73/18 74/1 74/25 75/4 75/14 75/16

81/13 82/7 88/13 97/13 97/15 109/21

meet [3] 40/:140/2546/18
meeting [1] 89/14
member [1] 88/8
members [3] 97/22 97/24 97/24
membership [1] 6/24
Memorial [1] 86/8
memory [2] 81/4 105/9
mental [1] 93/7
mentioned [5] 10/1 10/14 17/4 50/6 93/6
met [3] 21/19 71/6 71/10
meta [1] 106/21
metals [1] 6/1
method [4] 7/23 26/2 33/1 33/2
methodologic [3] 5/20 31/20 66/18
methodologies [2] 25/23 31/7
methodology [2] 65/12 66/20
methods [4] 10/11 11/13 12/24 20/25
microphone [1] 26/21
middle [1] 111/22
might [37] 8/4 8/13 9/8 9/10 12/23 15/12 16/3 17/12 20/20 20/24 22/17 26/3 30/3 41/19 42/11 43/12 49/25 50/25 60/2 65/2 70/17 70/19 73/23 73/24 83/1 83/5 83/7 84/10 93/12 93/13 93/16 94/10 94/12 97/13 104/2 110/6 118/6
migraine [3] 52/21 52/21 60/24
mild [1] 102/5
mill [3] 7/11 8/2 8/3
milligram [1] 102/9
milligrams [1] 102/10
milliliter [1] 16/17
milliliters [1] 16/19
million [7] 16/21 61/20 64/16 64/20
64/25 66/11 75/6
mills [1] \(7 / 25\)
mind [15] 4/18 6/4 7/19 26/11 34/20
36/2 36/15 41/6 49/25 51/5 55/7 64/13
69/2 90/9 111/12
minor [1] 24/6
minutes [1] 91/19
Mischaracterizes [2] 77/17 98/22
missed [2] 40/14 46/21
missing [2] 41/9 42/4
mission [1] 86/18
model [1] 84/17
modern [11] 7/7 7/21 7/25 8/12 10/11
10/12 11/20 12/1 12/24 17/9 20/24
moment [3] 8/15 55/2 109/18
Monday [5] 112/5 112/15 117/21 117/25 118/7
money [4] 82/3 82/13 84/1 85/7
monitor [1] 6/19
monitoring [1] 12/19
month [2] 30/5 101/19
more [39] 5/21 7/13 7/21 8/7 9/10 11/17
14/5 15/21 17/12 17/17 19/5 19/19 30/3
30/10 33/10 34/18 35/10 40/6 40/8
43/22 48/4 48/25 49/4 49/6 63/12 63/12
63/17 77/7 84/19 89/15 93/12 97/16
99/2 99/9 108/16 111/15 112/12 112/19
118/6
morning [3] 110/18 113/5 113/14
mortar [1] 8/5
most [8] 8/21 25/24 49/8 66/20 75/23
76/9 103/11 109/22
mother [1] 50/20
motion [6] 91/3 106/25 113/20 113/21
113/25 117/14
motions [2] 114/22 116/11
mouth [1] 102/5
move [1] 55/16

movies [1] 8/5
moving [1] 15/23
Mr [3] 71/10 112/12 116/23
Mr. [15] 4/5 26/22 45/5 58/15 80/13 80/17 80/22 83/9 83/10 97/18 110/4 115/6 115/12 115/22 115/22
Mr. Borneman [6] 26/22 83/9 83/10 115/6 115/12 115/22
Mr. Gomez [3] 80/17 110/4 115/22
Mr. Margulies [4] 4/5 58/15 80/13 80/22
Mr. Margulies' [1] 45/5
Mr. Phillips [1] 97/18
much [16] 7/21 8/11 19/19 41/21 42/17
59/12 72/18 73/15 73/20 84/1 85/7
95/21 106/12 106/14 110/12 112/18
multi [2] 65/16 65/19
multi-year [2] 65/16 65/19
multiple [1] 25/19
must [1] 82/23
my [67] \(4 / 18\) 7/4 8/21 10/20 11/3 11/8 11/19 15/16 16/14 20/1 21/24 27/7
31/17 33/4 34/21 35/23 36/1 37/17
38/13 42/17 44/22 46/4 64/1 65/22 69/5
70/22 73/2 73/6 74/6 74/7 75/24 75/24 76/15 76/15 77/11 79/2 81/1 81/23 82/20 85/19 86/13 91/2 92/16 94/1 94/2 95/20 96/2 96/9 97/5 99/17 100/1 100/4 100/22 101/17 101/18 101/20 102/18 106/1 108/3 108/5 108/25 109/5 109/6 110/19 111/15 113/5 115/3
myself[3] 84/21 95/21 96/6
N
name [8] 9/3 21/18 43/10 45/12 49/2
49/15 69/4 70/18
named [1] 86/16
namely [1] 60/22
names [1] 49/6
nano [9] 13/12 15/9 76/24 77/8 77/24
104/8 104/12 104/15 104/16
nano-sized [1] 13/12
nanomedicine [1] 12/20
nanoparticle [12] 7/1 8/11 10/9 15/20
16/23 19/15 19/16 20/16 20/17 20/20 75/14 76/2
nanoparticles [54] 4/13 4/15 4/19 4/22 5/24 6/6 6/17 6/21 7/17 10/2 10/5 10/8 10/13 10/17 10/22 11/16 11/21 11/23
12/7 12/18 13/2 13/4 13/10 13/15 14/8 14/13 14/21 15/12 15/23 15/25 16/1
16/3 16/11 16/17 16/20 17/7 19/2 20/6
20/7 76/6 76/13 76/21 79/3 86/6 99/25
100/3 103/1 103/3 103/4 103/21 103/24
104/5 104/15 104/19
nanostructure [1] 11/5
nanostructures [2] 66/4 66/4
nanotechnologists [1] 10/13
nanotechnology [9] 7/7 8/13 11/13 11/20
12/1 12/20 20/24 77/25 86/10
narrative [2] 80/20 80/21
nasal [1] 38/8
national[11] 6/23 8/23 81/13 82/3 82/4
82/16 85/14 89/4 107/16 107/17 108/12
Native [2] 88/14 89/2
nature [1] 8/14
necessarily [3] 50/18 103/9 115/3
necessary [2] 111/12 113/4
need [10] 19/22 24/15 26/21 38/23 80/4
93/13 110/23 111/1 111/8 112/11
needed [9] 5/21 24/6 24/9 39/16 39/19



\(107 / 14\)
ready [1]
rean 4 84/49448 Filed 01/08/16 realized [2] \({ }^{+9} 6129\) 6549
real
really [5] 15/2 41/12 42/5 44/7 65/11 realm [1] 75/3
reason [4] 19/2 115/5 115/14 118/6
reasonable [1] 29/6
publish [2] 43/17 47/13
published [13] 4/23 7/1 9/8 9/23 10/19
11/3 12/10 20/3 20/4 20/7 44/10 85/25
reasons [1] 110/1
rebut [1] 116/14
rebuttal [5] 113/17 114/11 114/23 116/4
116/8
rebutting [1] 113/23
recall [36] 20/8 21/10 21/23 27/24 32/5
32/7 32/20 38/11 42/25 44/7 47/6 49/6
50/9 60/5 61/6 61/8 61/13 67/18 68/1
71/9 71/15 71/16 74/10 76/23 85/10
87/3 87/6 88/12 93/1 95/1 96/14 97/21
107/13 107/18 115/7 115/21
receive [3] 27/11 41/2 85/14
received [13] 3/12 11/7 11/15 28/14
28/20 32/15 42/11 55/21 61/6 79/4 82/3
88/12 99/12
receives [1] 17/22
receiving [1] 87/25
recently [2] 16/14 19/10
Recess [1] 58/13
recipient [1] 17/11
recognize [13] 26/17 36/6 40/21 51/9
52/1 52/19 53/21 55/9 56/9 57/25 57/25
58/21 61/18
recollection [1] 42/17
recommend [1] 37/2
recommended [1] 73/25
recommending [1] 27/8
reconfirmed [1] 28/22
record [5] 58/8 73/5 85/7 117/7 117/9
RECROSS [2] 3/4 110/13
recruit [2] 35/14 39/12
recruitment [1] 26/2
redirect [4] 3/4 80/23 109/12 109/14
reference [1] 44/20
referenced [1] 107/15
references [4] 9/9 9/10 9/15 12/14
referencing [1] 80/10
referred [4] 5/13 17/19 50/17 73/25
referring [3] 38/12 103/4 114/24
reflect [1] 12/14
reflection [1] 5/5
refresh [1] 81/4
refusing [1] 74/22
regard [11] 6/7 12/17 13/1 13/3 28/20
29/10 41/22 73/19 93/22 111/17 115/10
regarding [3] 20/5 70/10 91/4
regardless [1] 43/18
regular [1] 73/23
regulate [1] 24/8
regulations [1] 119/11
relate [3] 20/20 20/24 83/5
related [9] \(5 / 5\) 10/3 11/19 21/14 24/15
82/21 85/12 86/5 114/2
relates [1] 77/25
relating [1] 9/9
relationship [3] 14/7 87/23 88/22
relationships [1] 88/10
release [1] 69/12
released [2] 69/8 71/14
relevance [2] 84/2 103/15
relevant [5] 4/20 17/23 100/22 114/11
114/25
reliable [4] 61/10 61/16 62/5 62/13
relied [1] 105/9
relief [7] 52/22 57/13 60/25 61/1 100/13

100/17 107/12

remedies [7] 93/12 103/24 109/22
109/23 109/25 110/2 110/5
remedy [6] 11/23 12/8 19/14 38/20

\section*{70/14 96/8}
remember [5] 49/14 60/1 71/17 81/5
110/7
remind [1] 110/19
reminded [1] 110/19
reorder [1] 111/11
reorient [1] 4/14
repeat [2] 90/21 91/2
rephrase [2] 30/23 62/7
replicated [1] 103/23
report [20] 15/15 18/11 18/13 23/25 24/2
24/7 25/1 28/19 38/15 42/13 42/23
42/24 43/1 43/19 47/21 47/25 106/9
107/8 107/13 114/4
reported [9] 5/22 11/5 13/17 24/10 38/8
61/5 82/12 105/3 119/9
Reporter [1] 119/17
reports [8] 5/11 23/23 24/14 46/22 47/19
78/20 78/23 79/7
representations [1] 44/17
representative [1] 101/5
represented [1] 94/16
represents [1] 87/24
required [2] 106/13 106/15
requirement [1] 15/17
requires [4] 24/2 35/10 35/11 65/10
research [59] 4/15 5/3 5/22 5/23 6/5
6/16 6/19 6/25 8/12 8/16 9/9 9/19 9/21
9/22 11/15 12/6 14/11 15/5 15/9 15/16
17/8 18/8 18/18 18/22 18/24 19/25
20/13 21/14 21/25 23/1 24/11 25/5
25/19 25/20 28/25 47/2 48/21 50/6
50/13 65/10 65/11 66/17 67/14 74/6
75/14 76/25 77/14 78/22 79/5 81/24
82/18 82/24 83/4 86/2 88/9 92/1 100/4
104/11 108/15
researcher [7] 18/22 19/21 28/16 78/13
87/10 87/14 89/2
researchers [4] 9/2 11/4 22/25 86/10
reservations [1] 88/14
resource [1] 8/25
respect [1] 108/3
respected [1] 108/1
respectively [1] 38/9
respiratory [1] 38/18
respond [2] 13/8 28/18
responding [1] 97/12
response [6] 16/24 17/21 18/20 39/3
45/6 109/20
responsibilities [1] 82/1
responsibility [1] 21/24
rest [1] 112/3
resting [2] 112/5 112/8
result [2] 28/16 28/20
results [18] 27/18 27/22 27/24 29/2
29/19 32/5 33/7 33/7 40/3 40/5 40/10
42/16 42/19 43/2 82/12 87/11 100/8
107/21
resume [3] 110/18 110/23 117/10
resumed [1] 58/14
retained [1] 22/20
retired [1] 21/25
retirement [1] 84/19
return [1] 58/12
revealed [1] 29/5
revenue [1] 66/10
review [8] 9/14 14/12 16/9 49/24 59/3

Seasonal [2] 57/13 60/25
secend and 104/80104tiked 441/08/16 
Section [1] \(+1 \cdot 19775\)
see [27] 5/23 9/3 9/23 12/7 27/13 30/2 32/12 46/25 51/12 52/4 52/24 53/4
54/13 54/17 57/11 67/4 68/13 80/3 98/9
105/20 106/2 106/11 106/20 112/3
112/7 112/17 112/21
seemed [1] 11/23
seen [2] \(8 / 446 / 22\)
selection [2] 11/19 70/18
self [1] 48/24
self-limited [1] 48/24
sell [3] 70/25 75/8 95/9
sells [6] 63/22 75/6 94/15 97/20 100/7 100/20
senior [1] 96/15
sense [9] 17/19 28/16 35/12 62/16
64/11 78/15 78/16 84/18 84/18
senses [1] 33/5
sensitive [7] 97/5 97/8 97/11 100/6
100/11 100/14 101/1
sent[3] 26/22 43/2 69/15
sentence [1] 38/5
separate [2] 11/25 15/4
separately [1] 11/8
September[3] 1/18 4/1 119/14
series [2] 14/11 109/19
serious [4] 24/3 24/4 24/5 92/14
serve [1] 22/25
serves [1] 113/22
Service [1] 89/4
Services [2] 85/18 88/13
serving [1] 88/1
Session [1] 1/16
set [3] 34/18 73/16 75/24
setting [7] 22/14 66/7 72/5 72/22 72/23
73/14 79/5
settings [1] 73/3
seven [1] 29/25
several [5] 9/1 14/12 19/8 20/23 77/10
Sexual [2] 50/3 50/4
shake [1] 99/22
shaking [3] 106/12 106/12 106/14
shape [1] 17/20
share [1] 47/9
she [22] 27/24 28/8 34/11 44/12 45/15
45/17 45/20 45/24 45/24 46/2 46/4 46/7
47/8 47/9 47/9 71/3 71/3 88/20 116/21
117/3 117/4 117/4
she'd [1] 25/11
She's [1] 43/10
shift [1] 21/1
shot [1] 17/24
should [9] 9/21 18/23 86/21 94/20 97/7
97/9 110/17 112/14 117/1
shouldn't [1] 116/2
show [3] 5/7 12/13 19/13
showed [1] 32/13
showing [3] 11/22 15/5 28/6
shown [2] 6/8 68/23
shows [4] 5/24 17/19 29/17 47/22
side [4] 59/1 74/18 102/5 110/25
sides [1] 39/6
signal [4] 5/8 5/9 17/22 102/20
signals [6] 5/4 6/12 6/13 13/18 13/18 104/25
significance [1] 28/10
significant [4] 15/3 28/10 40/10 74/18
significantly [1] 40/3
silica [3] 103/5 103/7 103/15
silver [4] 4/24 5/25 6/2 11/21
similar [8] 5/9 17/24 18/4 33/2 33/4 33/8
3ighat/933, 137 Page ID
similarly [1] \(1 / 6\)
simple [1] 70/22
simply [2] 50/18 70/24
since [8] 10/23 17/6 59/22 60/8 67/10
78/4 98/18 114/11
single [5] 94/13 101/16 109/18 109/22
110/1
site [1] 89/1
sitting [2] 8/18 78/16
situated [1] 1/7
situation [1] 99/5
six [5] 6/1 94/1 94/2 98/19 102/4
six-year-old [2] 94/1 94/2
size [6] 13/13 13/13 15/25 36/19 37/4
95/13
sized [1] 13/12
sizes [1] 104/22
skip [1] 107/8
sleep [2] 101/18 101/23
sleeping [1] 51/3
slide [1] 15/19
slip [1] 74/20
small [1] 28/9
smaller [3] 7/14 95/21 98/18
so [128]
so-called [2] 13/6 95/8
sold [6] 62/25 70/3 70/11 70/25 101/4 101/9
solely [1] 28/5
soluble [1] 7/13
solution [3] 6/13 6/14 93/16
solutions [3] 6/9 10/11 66/5
some [54] 5/3 5/20 6/4 7/5 8/13 9/15
10/12 11/5 11/17 13/12 13/15 13/16
19/10 19/12 20/4 21/24 24/3 24/10
25/20 26/25 27/1 29/9 31/8 33/4 35/12
35/18 38/3 38/4 42/22 44/4 48/5 50/23
52/4 60/12 62/22 64/10 66/3 67/12
67/13 76/15 84/11 87/4 89/24 90/7 91/9 91/15 92/24 93/18 99/22 101/25 103/25 104/2 106/5 108/5
somebody [3] 23/16 24/14 111/21
someone [11] 24/12 44/8 74/20 74/22
87/5 96/6 96/7 103/25 104/2 109/17
116/7
something [20] 8/19 13/24 17/12 17/15
17/16 19/6 36/20 41/23 50/25 65/19
67/1 67/17 72/13 73/19 96/10 99/8
101/22 104/1 104/23 111/15
sometime [1] 47/3
sometimes [6] 41/25 50/17 50/21 73/25
74/6 109/19
somewhat [3] 5/6 18/4 33/10
somewhere [2] 67/18 83/18
sophisticated [1] 43/20
sorry [15] 7/16 20/16 26/12 26/22 44/19
52/23 53/15 53/18 68/18 77/21 79/1
80/8 80/12 97/8 117/7
sound [9] 60/5 61/25 77/4 77/7 93/17
102/11 102/15 104/1 104/5
sounded [2] 7/21 29/6
sounds [2] 49/17 76/9
source [2] 4/23 13/11
South [1] 2/21
speak[5] 17/17 26/21 86/19 100/20
100/21
speakers [1] 6/23
speaking [3] 87/16 100/6 115/23
speaks [1] 99/4
special [1] 96/15

\section*{ 63/25 70/15 71/15 71/16 75/24 85/10} 88/21 89/8 89/17 89/20 93/23 96/1 96/8 103/17 113/24
specifically [14] 17/18 35/4 65/15 69/25 74/4 74/5 76/4 76/20 85/16 86/5 88/15
97/21 101/3 106/16
specification [1] 76/7
specifics [3] 102/12 106/10 106/12
speculation [1] 37/9
SPENCER [1] 2/20
spoke [3] 83/17 100/18 115/6
spoken [2] 71/8 99/6
sponsored [2] 47/2 108/12
Spring [1] 1/23
staff [1] 28/25
stand [1] 113/3
standard [30] 1/10 9/22 21/3 22/12 22/18 23/14 23/20 24/19 24/22 25/5 32/24 33/12 33/17 36/12 36/21 45/17 47/12 48/11 59/22 61/19 66/10 68/16 73/22 77/15 78/17 83/3 83/19 83/22 84/1 100/19
Standard's [1] 79/9
standpoint [1] 19/15
starred [1] 10/8
start [5] 6/5 22/12 29/7 80/17 112/14
started [5] 4/12 10/14 29/16 42/3 60/3
starts [1] 49/25
state [4] 6/24 13/1 77/9 108/13
stated [3] 64/9 102/2 106/10
statement [5] 62/6 68/20 81/5 101/14 102/6
statement's [1] 80/19
statements [2] 108/6 108/9
states [5] 1/1 38/19 50/15 119/8 119/12
statistical [11] 35/15 35/17 35/18 38/3
39/7 41/6 42/6 42/21 43/19 46/5 46/18
statistically [3] 28/9 40/3 40/10
statistician [3] 43/12 44/8 87/4
statisticians [1] 37/17
statistics [3] 40/13 40/25 41/11
status [3] 31/4 41/12 42/3
stay [4] 111/9 111/13 112/20 113/6
stenographically [1] 119/9
step [1] 8/8
STEPHANIE [1] 2/20
still [3] 21/24 21/25 114/25
stomach [1] 51/2
stop [4] 47/23 48/1 111/4 111/8
straightened [1] 58/17
straightforward [1] 6/12
Street [2] 1/23 2/21
stress [3] 14/4 96/17 96/20
stressful [2] 17/13 96/16
strike [3] 6/17 38/22 41/5
strong [1] 88/18
strongest [1] 12/6
STROUP [1] 2/20
studied [4] 14/1 14/3 14/3 34/10 studies [30] 5/13 9/16 10/23 14/11 \(15 / 11\) 16/14 19/8 20/4 30/9 34/17 39/14 62/22 63/4 63/9 64/9 65/2 65/12 65/23 66/3
67/12 105/3 105/5 105/7 107/21 108/3 114/13 114/24 115/2 115/10 116/8 study [108] 25/9 25/11 25/13 25/17 25/18 25/22 26/4 26/5 26/5 26/9 26/24 26/24 27/5 27/7 27/9 27/16 27/19 27/22 27/25 28/21 29/1 29/8 29/10 29/19 29/20 30/4 30/6 30/21 31/2 31/8 31/11 31/16 31/19 31/23 32/3 32/6 32/10

35/10 35/14:362 \(1538 / 6\) 38/7 38/11 38/19 38/24 39/12 39/21 40/1 40/14 41/1 41/7 41/18 42/12 42/19 42/23 43/2 46/23 47/19 47/21 59/25 61/3 61/10 61/12 61/15 62/12 64/1 67/4 67/21 74/7 86/10 87/25 96/11 96/14 96/19 96/19 96/22 96/22 113/18 113/19 114/6 114/8 114/18 115/13 115/23 115/24 116/23 117/1 117/4
studying [5] 23/1 66/20 66/21 88/5 89/10 subject [2] 44/18 71/8
subjects [9] 26/1 35/12 35/13 39/11 40/7
40/9 41/24 46/17 46/20
substance [1] 14/1
substances [2] 106/5 106/6
succusses [1] 104/22
succussion [1] 10/4
such [3] 81/5 88/10 106/9
suffers [1] 94/17
sufficiently [1] 43/20
suggest [4] 73/7 91/18 96/18 107/5
suggesting [5] 15/10 19/8 66/9 66/16
104/11
suggests [4] 13/11 13/14 46/17 104/21
suitcase [1] 109/6
Suite [2] 2/8 2/11
suited [1] 93/4
summarized [1] 14/12
summary [1] 40/24
support [5] 64/7 78/12 81/8 81/19
107/10
supported [1] 79/3
supports [1] 62/17
sure [24] 10/21 17/16 35/20 42/4 62/8
62/20 65/19 65/24 70/22 74/2 77/12
80/24 83/2 84/22 89/8 89/24 90/22
92/16 93/25 99/14 104/2 106/11 111/5
112/25
surprise [1] 70/8
Susanne [1] 45/12
Sustained [10] 16/7 18/15 28/2 37/11
37/24 64/22 75/11 79/14 84/3 107/1
switch [2] 48/4 114/13
symptom [4] 21/15 38/16 69/17 70/15
symptoms [12] 29/16 32/8 32/16 34/7
38/20 49/25 63/7 70/16 70/19 72/25
93/8 93/9
system [3] 15/22 73/12 76/3
systematic [1] 106/21
systems [1] 49/24

\section*{T}

T32 [1] 81/23
tablet [9] 28/11 28/13 28/21 59/25 114/6
114/7 115/23 116/23 117/1
tablets [22] 25/9 27/13 27/13 28/22
30/10 52/3 52/23 55/13 55/14 60/16
60/23 60/25 61/4 62/9 67/1 98/17 98/18
99/16 102/4 113/18 114/3 115/13
take [18] 7/12 12/5 12/7 19/17 21/20
25/7 39/5 43/5 52/15 58/6 58/11 72/18
84/23 93/25 97/2 97/13 117/20 118/7
taken [4] 58/13 74/19 80/14 112/1
takes [1] 110/6
taking [9] 39/25 66/25 73/2 73/4 79/24
95/7 100/8 112/16 117/25
talk [10] 4/12 11/9 21/1 21/3 48/5 68/6
82/15 102/23 113/11 115/9
talked [2] 5/6 62/8
talking[14] 8/2 26/23 27/3 60/1 62/23

80/3 88/23 93/19 96/10 99/2 99/20
podge 100/15100/45 Page ID
Taylor [32] 23/10 23/10 31/12 31/18 32/9 33/17 33/22 35/24 36/12 36/21 37/8 38/2 39/15 40/24 42/15 42/18 43/16 44/5 44/7 46/3 46/8 46/11 47/17
113/18 114/7 115/8 115/9 115/13
115/15 115/17 115/23 116/1
Taylor's [4] 34/5 34/22 35/5 46/18
tea [1] 51/1
teaching [1] 72/10
technical [2] 53/16 66/11
technique [2] 15/17 15/20
techniques [1] 5/2
technology [2] 8/1 15/15
teething [26] 25/9 25/16 27/12 28/12
28/21 29/12 29/13 29/16 30/10 52/3
52/23 59/25 60/16 60/22 61/4 62/9 67/1
102/4 113/18 114/2 114/6 114/7 115/13
115/23 116/23 117/1
tell [8] 22/15 39/19 69/22 72/17 94/5 94/7 99/14 106/14
telling [5] 67/6 74/13 74/14 75/1 75/8
ten [7] 11/3 20/9 21/7 22/3 81/22 91/19
102/3
ten-pound [1] 102/3
ten-year [1] 81/22
tend [2] 108/25 109/2
term [2] 76/24 78/1
terms [11] 9/2 33/8 33/25 38/3 42/14
47/19 65/19 69/17 76/9 78/22 95/14
test [2] 35/11 64/5
tested [4] 90/14 91/1 91/23 91/25
testified [4] 18/14 18/17 90/11 115/12
testify [5] 45/3 114/6 114/7 114/8 115/2
testifying [2] 78/16 114/19
testimony [26] 18/19 27/1 31/9 64/25
69/11 69/18 70/9 76/23 77/17 79/16
80/25 90/14 90/23 91/4 92/23 92/24
98/23 109/3 109/8 113/24 113/25
114/10 114/24 115/12 116/12 116/20 testing [4] 63/22 64/14 64/17 64/20 tests [1] 114/23
than [20] 13/13 19/19 20/13 33/11 35/11 40/8 49/4 61/5 65/24 67/15 67/19 77/7 91/25 94/2 95/21 97/16 99/9 106/23 110/24 112/13
thank [45] 4/7 4/11 21/1 36/17 40/18
45/7 46/10 47/18 48/3 51/4 51/24 52/13
53/14 54/12 55/20 56/7 58/16 59/12
59/16 60/19 62/20 67/23 68/25 73/8
73/15 82/2 86/23 91/17 93/14 94/14
107/15 109/11 109/13 110/11 110/12
110/15 110/16 110/21 111/18 113/7
117/9 117/12 118/2 118/8 118/9
Thao [1] 71/6
that [764]
that's [33] 19/14 21/2 21/10 24/24 26/12
35/14 35/23 40/11 42/6 47/15 47/24
48/23 53/23 67/1 68/21 73/18 75/1
75/19 75/24 77/13 82/2 85/3 97/3
102/12 106/1 108/2 109/10 109/11
111/12 114/12 115/11 116/4 117/24
the current [1] 87/16
their [16] 5/2 15/23 19/18 25/15 31/22
32/16 33/22 34/20 50/8 64/10 83/6 88/5 89/6 99/4 105/4 115/25
them [46] 5/20 6/1 7/7 7/11 7/11 7/11
9/20 20/9 23/22 23/23 24/2 24/23 31/20
31/21 31/22 37/16 37/17 38/3 48/9
65/23 70/20 72/17 74/13 74/18 75/1
75/2 75/8 81/21 82/12 83/3 86/20 86/21

99/22 100/2 103/13 107/21 108/13 111/6 111/22 113/5 113/10 113/12 themselves [3] 1/6 95/4 99/8 then [28] 8/7 8/10 9/3 9/18 10/8 11/25 19/16 31/24 32/19 38/14 38/19 39/7 41/22 52/16 62/2 98/18 100/8 100/24 101/19 102/23 102/24 112/4 112/15 114/16 115/14 116/1 118/1 118/7 theoretically [1] 67/8
theories [5] 89/24 90/2 102/22 102/25 105/1
theory [6] 29/25 104/9 104/10 104/10 104/25 105/10
therapeutically [1] 97/12
there [105] 4/16 4/17 4/22 4/24 5/8 5/19 5/21 6/1 6/8 6/9 6/14 6/20 8/18 9/6 9/10 10/7 10/10 10/23 11/3 11/22 12/3 12/7 12/8 12/23 13/7 13/7 14/11 15/5 15/7 15/14 15/16 16/16 16/19 16/20 18/7 19/8 19/19 20/9 23/7 23/7 24/3 24/10 28/8 29/5 29/7 31/23 40/13 40/14 41/5 42/5 46/17 46/20 46/21 48/22 49/4 49/5 50/6 50/13 53/4 54/1 62/18 63/3 63/9 64/9 65/15 66/17 67/20 73/22 73/24 77/10 81/5 81/7 81/18 85/11 85/25 86/6 86/7 87/3 92/12 92/23 93/3 93/11 93/15 94/8 97/15 99/15 99/24 100/17 102/9 102/25 104/6 104/10 104/21 106/2 106/9 106/10 107/10 107/13 110/23 113/8 113/11 113/20 115/19 116/7 117/2
there is [1] 93/11
there's [16] 13/6 14/20 15/9 25/19 30/2
62/21 62/22 64/5 70/8 82/21 94/8 98/20
99/14 100/4 110/25 114/11
therefore [1] 13/7
therein [1] 44/17
these [55] 6/3 9/11 12/9 33/24 35/1 38/14 38/20 49/13 49/18 50/8 50/17 51/14 52/6 53/7 54/23 56/15 57/17 61/20 62/4 62/14 62/24 63/6 64/7 65/2 65/20 66/12 67/11 73/9 75/20 78/22 82/9 94/15 95/3 95/15 95/24 95/25 97/20 99/16 100/8 100/12 101/4 101/6 101/9 101/11 102/23 103/1 105/1 105/1 105/6 107/5 108/6 109/2 109/9 112/9 116/7
they [97] 4/23 4/24 5/1 5/7 5/9 5/9 5/21 5/21 6/11 6/14 7/2 7/10 7/16 8/4 8/6 8/6 9/7 9/20 11/5 19/19 22/19 23/17 23/18 23/22 24/6 25/25 29/14 29/14 31/19 32/7 33/8 34/4 37/19 39/20 41/18 41/19 41/20 41/20 41/21 47/19 47/21 47/25 48/15 49/1 49/21 50/17 50/18 51/21 55/19 62/5 62/25 67/5 68/5 70/20 72/17 72/18 82/6 82/9 82/13 82/20 82/20 83/6 86/13 86/17 93/8 93/13 93/22 96/3 97/11 98/4 98/16 99/7 99/9 101/13 101/14 101/15 102/18 104/18 105/3 107/24 107/25 108/2 108/14 108/15 108/16 111/9 113/5 113/6 113/13 115/11 115/18 115/25 116/1 116/8 116/13 117/13 117/14
they're [12] 9/13 15/7 20/11 48/15 51/17 74/19 84/24 86/2 102/19 114/14 114/15 118/4
They've [1] 87/20
thing [4] 14/15 41/15 51/3 116/18
things [14] 5/5 8/6 10/9 11/21 12/21
22/24 23/20 24/19 25/25 41/13 73/6

78/11 84/9 105/2 think [39]5 \(5 / 236 / 410 \pm 1418 / 5296929 / 8\)
 66/2 75/3 79/2 2 29124 80/5 80/14 80/19 91/6 92/5 92/6 92/23 93/19 96/18 102/8 108/15 110/25 111/11 111/12 113/8 114/25 115/2 116/3 116/22 116/25
117/2 117/22 118/5
thinking [1] 17/10
thinks [2] 14/20 29/14
third [5] 58/25 74/22 104/25 112/11
112/14
third-party [1] 74/22
this [173]
those [49] 5/13 9/18 10/12 12/14 13/5 15/25 28/24 31/4 39/5 40/5 51/22 52/10 52/11 54/1 54/5 54/9 54/24 56/4 61/5 62/17 63/2 65/4 67/13 68/4 71/17 73/15 78/9 81/20 81/25 85/25 86/12 92/17 93/9 94/9 95/20 96/1 98/20 100/21 103/11 105/5 105/7 105/24 111/20 114/13 114/23 114/24 115/2 115/10 116/12
thought [2] 4/19 115/7
threatening [2] 24/5 74/19 three [3] 81/12 97/2 112/10 throat [1] 50/2
through [23] 22/15 23/16 23/17 35/14 39/7 50/1 61/19 67/25 72/11 79/21 83/24 85/17 88/12 91/25 92/5 94/25 102/20 102/22 108/20 109/19 114/15 116/20 117/24
Thursday [2] 1/18 4/1
tied [1] 6/4
til [1] 111/14
time [50] 6/22 6/25 15/16 20/22 21/17
21/22 21/23 22/2 22/5 22/7 22/7 22/20 22/22 23/12 29/15 29/21 31/4 32/23
34/20 36/20 37/5 37/21 38/2 42/19 48/5
49/21 58/6 72/6 72/7 75/23 76/10 78/4
79/2 79/9 83/3 96/9 96/16 104/4 105/12
108/3 108/5 108/6 109/3 109/5 109/6
109/8 109/22 109/23 110/17 110/24
times [2] 97/22 109/19
timing [1] 117/23
tin [1] \(4 / 25\)
tincture [1] 50/20
Title [1] 119/8
today [8] 31/9 63/5 72/5 76/17 76/20
85/14 104/8 112/10
together [1] 6/15
told [18] 7/10 18/19 25/8 27/24 28/14
46/2 64/24 66/2 85/21 88/18 93/19 95/3
98/7 98/13 98/19 99/7 106/13 108/14
Tom [1] 36/16
tomorrow [16] 110/18 110/24 111/4
111/9 111/24 112/4 112/8 112/9 112/11 112/12 113/5 113/14 114/19 117/11 117/20 117/23
tonight [2] 117/17 117/19
took [1] 108/8
tooth [1] 29/17
topic [10] 7/3 9/9 9/24 10/20 14/13 21/2
63/9 82/22 86/7 95/18
topics [2] 91/5 91/13
total [2] 39/11 39/20
town [2] 111/5 117/23
toxic [2] 14/2 17/13
toxicology [1] 14/2
tracking [2] 15/20 67/4
traditional [1] 50/13
trailer [1] 113/11
trained [3] 71/18 96/6 109/17
trainees [1] 72/10
traing [4] \({ }^{2}\) Traumeel [1] 96/23
treat [7] 12/4 48/24 72/4 72/24 75/4 96/9 107/25
treated [3] 72/2 96/2 108/3
treating [4] 46/13 49/1 73/12 74/8
treatment [6] 30/3 39/4 74/22 93/5 93/13 99/23
trends [2] 21/13 83/4
trial [20] 1/16 2/10 25/2 26/6 27/2 27/5 31/24 33/13 36/9 46/11 60/16 62/4 62/23 64/5 64/11 64/12 66/19 67/19 113/24 114/4
trials [6] 37/13 62/8 63/22 65/17 66/12 67/15
tribe [1] 88/14
tried [1] 105/6
tries [1] 92/12
trigger [1] 92/2
trituration [2] 7/24 10/1
trouble [1] 51/2
true [63] 6/9 40/14 62/2 64/8 64/25 65/1
65/21 65/25 66/5 67/11 69/9 70/23
73/21 76/10 77/13 78/4 78/6 78/19
78/24 79/11 79/12 81/6 81/7 81/11
81/14 81/17 82/13 83/7 83/11 83/17
83/19 83/21 84/7 84/17 87/8 87/22
87/25 89/11 90/2 90/4 91/1 91/21 92/20
95/3 95/5 95/10 95/13 96/25 100/12
100/19 100/20 101/8 102/25 103/2
103/21 105/7 107/22 108/17 108/21
108/24 109/3 110/9 119/8
try [4] 6/16 28/18 30/10 114/15
trying [3] 31/20 84/23 84/23
Tucson [2] 75/25 76/10
Tuesday [1] 112/2
turn [20] 19/19 26/11 36/15 40/19 51/5
51/25 52/14 52/16 53/15 53/16 53/25
54/13 54/17 55/6 55/23 56/8 56/13 57/9
57/15 57/24
turned [1] 29/12
turning [2] 36/2 56/21
twice [1] 34/19
two [25] 5/1 7/10 12/1 28/11 36/10
41/24 42/1 48/20 52/15 62/8 67/6 81/18
85/25 86/10 92/21 93/20 97/2 98/17
98/19 105/14 111/4 111/20 112/13
114/23 117/23
two-pager [1] 52/15
Tyler [1] 49/14
type [20] 9/22 11/5 20/2 21/22 24/8
25/21 38/25 39/1 40/11 40/12 41/15 65/13 70/19 92/2 93/3 93/10 93/11 93/15 93/15 108/9
types [5] 16/15 20/14 92/25 93/12 95/20 typical [1] 16/3
typically [9] 9/13 39/14 49/23 70/17
72/20 73/18 73/19 96/8 98/16
typing [1] 8/18
U
U.S [3] 1/22 2/19 119/17
ultimate [1] 36/24
ultimately [2] 43/10 115/21
under [6] 36/19 53/4 57/1 57/15 79/5
80/25
underlying [1] 18/8
undermine [1] 87/11
understand [38] 5/13 12/25 14/20 14/23
18/11 18/17 22/18 23/18 32/21 33/6
35/5 37/7 37/18 38/1 38/22 40/13 48/25
versa [1] 64/3


\[
1
\] 59/12 74/3217 783 41912 41/20 48/22 5/2 74/17 78/20 79/22 86/19 88/18 90/22 92/13 92/14 93/9 99/11 99/17 99/18 110/12 115/19 115/20
veterinarian [1] 96/7
vice [1] 64/3
vicinity [1] 60/3
videotape [2] 115/21 116/1
videotaped [3] 115/8 115/14 115/16
view [2] 99/6 106/21
viewpoints [1] 34/9
vigorously [1] 115/20
violating [1] 5/14
VOIR [1] 3/3
VOL [1] 3/4
volume [5] 44/16 44/21 79/21 80/4 80/6
Volume I [1] 79/21
Volume II [2] 44/16 44/21
vulnerable [1] 92/13

\section*{W}
want [15] 21/3 35/20 41/14 47/21 47/25
80/17 98/9 99/9 105/12 107/6 108/17
111/5 111/13 113/11 117/20
wanted [11] 9/19 12/5 22/24 23/22
43/22 47/9 58/7 72/19 101/22 112/25 117/14
wanting [1] 34/6
wants [4] 88/19 99/22 111/2 111/23 was [236]
Washington [6] 23/19 31/13 43/17 45/14 47/12 108/11
wasn't [6] 28/10 31/21 41/12 42/5 55/8 115/16
watch [1] 15/23
water [4] 8/3 16/18 96/24 105/9
way [11] 20/23 29/8 40/14 50/1 70/19
93/20 98/9 100/15 106/7 114/21 117/6
ways [7] 7/10 8/7 8/8 10/7 22/23 23/23 91/9
we [100] 4/12 4/13 9/15 12/2 16/16 24/7 24/20 24/21 28/14 28/22 28/23 30/13 31/5 31/5 32/12 36/16 38/7 38/7 38/15
38/23 46/16 51/1 51/2 52/4 53/15 54/17
55/7 55/16 58/16 58/19 60/1 61/3 61/12 63/5 67/12 67/13 67/24 68/3 74/21
78/21 80/2 80/4 81/11 83/17 86/21
88/25 91/13 92/5 92/6 95/24 102/6
102/8 104/8 107/15 108/11 108/14
108/20 110/17 110/18 111/3 111/4
111/5 111/8 111/9 111/11 111/12
111/14 111/16 111/20 111/24 112/4
112/5 112/10 112/13 112/14 112/17
112/18 112/20 112/21 112/25 113/2
113/3 113/4 114/5 114/6 114/8 114/11
114/24 115/7 115/8 115/18 117/7
117/10 117/16 117/18 117/24 117/25
118/3 118/4 118/6
we'll [11] 14/5 52/15 52/16 58/4 58/11
58/18 82/15 102/24 105/24 107/8 117/8
we're [13] 30/16 45/2 53/16 62/20 70/23
84/22 84/23 88/23 91/16 96/10 105/12
114/14 114/16
we've [6] 26/25 31/23 48/21 62/8 63/3
67/12
webinar [1] 7/2
week [3] 14/6 22/6 22/7
weekend [1] 111/23
weight [1] 95/13
welcome [1] 4/10
well [59] 4/22 7/21 8/15 8/23 12/19 13/4
\(15 / 2516 / 34{ }^{1} 6\)
36/20 38/22 41/5 41/10 48/20 58/4 61/16 62/16 63/24 66/16 66/23 67/12 67/20 68/22 72/10 73/13 73/22 74/6 80/22 84/16 85/25 89/22 91/7 91/15 92/11 95/25 96/22 97/4 100/21 101/21 103/11 105/1 110/9 111/7 111/11 111/16 113/1 114/21 115/25 116/3 118/6
well-being [1] 84/16
went [6] 8/10 29/20 30/4 94/25 98/15 108/10
were [94] 4/16 4/22 5/1 5/7 5/19 5/21
6/1 6/9 6/10 6/11 6/17 7/16 7/16 8/6 9/8 11/17 12/7 13/8 16/16 21/6 21/22 22/9 23/7 23/20 24/9 25/15 26/8 27/5 27/8 27/18 27/21 27/21 27/24 28/14 29/1 29/5 29/7 30/6 31/15 31/19 32/2 32/6 32/7 33/8 34/2 34/2 34/3 34/4 34/22 34/24 35/1 35/2 35/24 38/3 38/12 43/2 46/18 51/2 59/5 69/12 72/13 72/21 72/24 73/12 75/1 77/10 77/10 78/23 79/7 79/12 79/17 81/1 81/18 81/19 81/25 85/12 85/25 86/13 86/17 87/15 88/14 89/1 89/16 98/5 98/18 99/7 99/10 100/14 101/21 106/2 108/5 108/6 108/14 117/14
weren't [1] 42/4
West [1] 2/11
WESTERN [1] \(1 / 3\)
what [151]
what's [15] 9/5 9/23 13/21 18/13 18/14
18/20 20/10 23/25 35/14 40/12 41/16 73/18 101/18 101/19 102/19
whatever [1] 50/19
whatsoever [1] 100/13
wheel [2] \(8 / 28 / 3\)
when [41] 4/24 5/9 6/13 6/25 8/2 8/15 9/21 10/5 10/15 17/9 17/21 25/7 26/9 27/3 29/14 29/16 32/15 35/1 43/14 48/18 49/18 64/1 70/2 72/7 72/17 73/19 74/5 75/21 77/2 82/2 83/2 83/17 89/5 99/6 104/22 110/17 111/25 112/18 113/5 117/14 117/24
where [29] \(5 / 6\) 6/11 8/6 9/2 9/11 11/20 12/2 12/23 13/24 15/22 26/2 31/19 32/10 34/19 38/22 42/3 45/13 75/20 75/20 75/25 76/24 80/2 81/24 93/8 96/14 98/15 105/3 106/11 106/20 whereby [2] 61/4 88/19
whether [32] 6/17 6/20 10/3 16/10 19/23 26/8 33/1 35/24 36/24 37/2 46/12 48/14 51/17 52/6 53/7 54/5 54/19 55/25 56/15 57/2 57/17 59/4 69/22 74/23 84/10 91/9 95/7 96/12 96/19 98/5 99/3 103/19 which [31] 7/23 10/11 14/11 16/18 16/25 17/20 19/18 32/22 32/23 38/3 39/13 41/21 46/19 48/15 50/25 52/17 67/6 67/6 76/12 80/5 81/23 82/22 87/24 88/20 91/13 92/1 92/3 97/15 99/18 111/2 114/21
whichever [1] 116/15
while [2] 29/5 77/15
who [45] 9/19 11/13 12/3 20/19 22/25 23/5 25/15 26/3 31/18 36/21 36/22 41/25 43/8 43/12 44/9 44/14 45/9 45/11 47/16 48/24 49/9 71/17 71/22 73/4 73/23 77/10 86/10 86/12 87/2 88/4 88/8 88/10 88/19 94/13 95/18 96/7 98/16 99/20 108/8 108/10 110/6 112/6 112/14 115/12 116/2

112/1
Whoever [1] 49/20
whole [6] 90/3 94/3 94/24 95/8 116/19 116/25
whom [5] 11/4 27/21 86/14 97/19 100/21
whose [3] 43/10 47/12 96/15
why [15] \(5 / 1815 / 119 / 219 / 25\) 29/4 41/16 46/15 74/16 109/16 111/13 111/16 112/17 113/4 116/1 118/6 widely [1] 11/17
will [23] 17/5 17/22 19/12 19/16 38/15 48/5 68/12 92/19 95/15 100/8 100/12 100/20 101/16 110/18 111/24 112/14 112/19 113/3 113/3 115/21 117/10 117/18 117/22
William [1] 49/9
willing [1] 26/3
Wilshire [1] 2/7
wise [2] 70/1 70/1
within [10] 41/21 48/23 63/19 93/14
97/14 99/1 101/16 101/17 102/9 103/1
without [7] 54/15 69/25 74/13 74/14 75/1
75/8 80/18
witness [18] 3/4 16/6 26/14 36/3 40/20
57/10 59/14 84/6 111/25 113/2 113/3 113/13 113/18 114/23 116/2 116/4 116/9 116/15
witnesses [7] 3/2 111/5 111/20 112/9 114/16 115/2 116/12
won't [2] 101/20 117/8
word [2] 10/8 48/18
words [5] 8/20 10/20 37/18 38/1 84/24
work [47] 6/8 6/14 8/21 10/17 11/9 11/10 11/12 11/14 12/9 12/14 14/18 17/17 18/24 19/5 19/13 21/3 23/9 23/22 24/23 24/25 25/14 25/20 44/3 44/5 46/4 46/8 62/6 62/25 65/5 65/13 75/24 76/9 76/12 76/20 77/9 78/13 78/21 79/3 85/12 89/25 93/20 93/22 98/14 102/23 105/6 107/5 108/16
worked [2] 23/18 73/3
working [2] 44/8 76/19
works [5] 19/22 19/23 90/13 90/25 91/22 workshop [1] 108/11
world [6] 9/24 50/14 50/15 70/14 74/21 93/11
would [97] 9/18 9/20 9/23 10/4 14/15 15/11 16/19 19/6 21/12 22/25 27/11 31/8 34/18 34/19 36/17 37/1 37/3 38/13 38/23 39/12 41/14 43/17 44/9 46/21 48/20 48/20 49/24 50/20 51/1 51/6 55/16 57/24 58/5 62/13 63/19 64/19 65/3 65/9 65/15 68/22 70/8 72/10 72/11 73/5 73/7 73/8 73/11 73/13 73/15 73/24 74/11 74/20 74/25 75/7 77/3 78/19 79/25 86/24 87/5 87/10 87/13 87/15 87/24 88/1 88/8 91/2 92/11 92/14 95/7 95/9 95/11 96/19 98/2 98/4 99/9 99/11 100/21 100/24 101/23 102/3 102/15 102/18 103/15 103/17 103/18 104/1 104/5 108/14 108/15 109/7 111/10 111/21 112/4 116/8 118/3 118/5 118/5 wouldn't [7] 26/11 36/2 36/15 51/5 55/6 106/9 112/5
write [3] 73/9 73/13 100/22
writing [4] 49/10 78/22 100/24 118/4 written [6] 10/24 20/15 20/23 42/24 78/20 102/6
wrote [3] 9/16 46/5 49/21
yeah [2] 3 \#4i+9059
year [19] 10/15 47/3 63/21 64/16 64/20 64/25 65/16 65/19 66/11 72/12 75/6 77/2 81/22 84/1 85/7 86/1 94/1 94/2 104/11
years [16] 11/2 11/7 12/22 21/7 22/3
23/8 23/21 36/10 60/12 62/2 64/7 65/21
65/24 67/10 71/25 77/22
yes [203]
yesterday [2] 102/8 102/8
yet [3] 27/3 48/4 111/22
you [613]
you'd [2] 10/14 55/23
you'll [1] 112/21
you're [25] 8/17 9/11 14/10 18/22 18/22
24/22 35/11 35/13 37/13 40/1 62/3
65/17 75/21 80/3 82/10 88/5 99/2 103/4
103/12 105/17 106/8 112/7 112/8 112/8 112/8
you've [28] 11/9 11/10 11/10 12/9 12/15
20/4 24/20 24/25 37/16 42/6 59/22 60/8
60/11 62/3 62/22 72/2 74/24 75/21 76/2
76/25 77/14 78/21 79/5 82/18 95/3
105/5 107/4 110/1
young [1] 92/18
your [122]
Your Honor [25] 16/6 18/14 28/3 30/14
30/21 34/13 37/22 55/2 55/16 55/18 58/5 59/13 68/18 79/25 80/20 85/8 91/2 112/2 113/8 114/12 116/16 116/18 117/3 117/19 118/3
yourself [1] 37/16
Z
zoom [1] 51/6```


[^0]:    Lisa M. Gonzalez, Official Reporter

